



Interoffice Memo

DATE: August 17, 2022

PHONE: 909-387-0235

FROM: **ARON LIANG**, Planning Manager *AL*
Land Use Services Department

TO: **HONORABLE PLANNING COMMISSION**

SUBJECT: **PROJECT# PROJ-2020-00127/PROJ-2021-00131; ASG DEVELOPMENT ADVISORS
(AGENDA ITEM # 3)**

Since the distribution of the staff report, Staff has received additional comments for the above-referenced Project. These additional comments are attached for your consideration.

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jon Preciado <jon@scdcl.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2022 3:11 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: PROJ-2020-00127: Slover and Cactus Industrial Project (257k sf Industrial warehouse building)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Planning Commission Members:

On behalf of the 33,800 members of the Southern California District Council of Laborers ("LiUNA!) I ask for your support for the project referenced above. The Slover and Cactus Industrial Project will provide much needed work for our members, many of whom live in Bloomington and the surrounding communities. This is work that will provide good family sustaining union wages and benefits. The money earned in Bloomington will be spent in Bloomington and surrounding communities of the Inland Empire, which we all can agree is a good thing for the Inland Empire economy.

As a lifelong resident of the Inland Empire (including having lived in Bloomington) and a current resident of Colton for the past 34 years, I am excited to see the development of these industrial warehouses because it is bringing jobs into the Inland Empire that has been so desperately needed. Without local jobs, our Inland Empire residents have to commute to other areas to find work, which is harmful to the environment, their mental and physical health and to their families. Please allow our members to work where they live and spend more time at home instead of on the freeways commuting to Los Angeles and other parts of Southern California.

I thank you in advance for your consideration on this matter.

Jon P. Preciado
Business Manager
Southern California District Council of Laborers
1123 Park View Dr., Suite 300
Covina, CA 91724
(626) 350-6900
(626) 350-7583 *facsimile*
www.scdcl.org www.liuna.org



Please note our new address as of April 26, 2021 is:

1123 Park View Dr., Suite 300
Covina, CA 91724

Biggs, Lupe

From: rmills7077@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2022 7:27 PM
To: Liang, Aron
Subject: Re: Slover/Cactus Warehouse Project - PROJ-2020-00127/PROJ-2021-00131
Attachments: August 16 letter to Commission.docx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,
I attached our letter of concerns and requests. Also, the page in the FEIR I referred to regarding parking and the County Code 52.0128 is F-16, A-23.

Thanks again for your patience and attention.

Sincerely,

Jayn Mills

-----Original Message-----

From: Liang, Aron <Aron.Liang@lus.sbcounty.gov>
To: rmills7077@aol.com <rmills7077@aol.com>
Sent: Tue, Aug 16, 2022 5:08 pm
Subject: RE: Slover/Cactus Warehouse Project - PROJ-2020-00127/PROJ-2021-00131

Please send to me. Thank you.

From: rmills7077@aol.com <rmills7077@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2022 4:12 PM
To: Liang, Aron <Aron.Liang@lus.sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Slover/Cactus Warehouse Project - PROJ-2020-00127/PROJ-2021-00131

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

After reviewing this document we have questions about several concerns not completely addressed. We have written a letter and have been unsuccessful at getting it sent to an email for the Planning Commission Secretary. The address provided was for electronic comments.

Do I have to have it to them before meeting? Should I send it to you? Do I just read this on Thursday at meeting with copies available at the time for the commissioners?

Jayn Mills

-----Original Message-----

From: Liang, Aron <Aron.Liang@lus.sbcounty.gov>

To: rmills7077@aol.com <rmills7077@aol.com>
Sent: Fri, Aug 5, 2022 10:22 am
Subject: Slover/Cactus Warehouse Project - PROJ-2020-00127/PROJ-2021-00131

Hi Jayn, this is letting you know that the above item has been scheduled for review by the Planning Commission on August 18, 2022. The hearing notice is attached for reference.

Here's the link to the Final Environmental Impact
Report: https://www.sbcounty.gov/uploads/LUS/Environmental/SLOVER_CACTUS_FEIR.pdf

Please let me know if you have questions.

Aron Liang
Planning Manager
Land Use Services Department
Phone: 909-387-0235
Cell: 909.601.4672
Fax: 909-387-3223
385 N. Arrowhead Ave
San Bernardino, CA, 92415-0187



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

August 16, 2022

San Bernardino County Planning Commission
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, First Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415

Members of the San Bernardino County Planning Commission:

Regarding the proposed Slover/Cactus Avenue Warehouse Project, #PROJ-2020-00127 and PROJ-2021-00131. Applicant: Nasser Mustafa/Dave Mlynarski.

We, Randall and Jayn Mills, are impacted residents located adjacent to the southern property line and Cactus Ave. of the Slover/Cactus Avenue Warehouse Project and we have some concerns and requests.

Concerns and Requests are:

1. Parking or idling, of vehicles, motorhomes, or any type of truck (semi-truck/trailer or delivery) on Cactus or Slover Ave. 'No Stopping Anytime' signage along with curb painted red would mitigate this from occurring and make the street consistent.
2. Signage of 'No Parking of Trucks Over 5 Tons' on Slover Ave. for safe visibility when entering or exiting driveways as well as making turns onto Slover Ave. from Cactus.
3. Highway Patrol has stated, without signage they cannot enforce County Code.
4. Perimeter wall height separating properties: Can it be 10 ft.?
5. Will there be trees planted along south perimeter wall for privacy and aesthetics and will such trees be non-toxic to the livestock that could potentially ingest leaves?
6. External lighting of the building and its grounds that will create glare toward/into our property. We have no idea of locations or height of lighting fixtures of the facility and its parking lots.
7. How will the restriction of public access behind building be addressed?
8. Will security cameras be utilized at rear of building and if so how will they not infringe on the privacy of our property?
9. Will occupant be able to add any type of storage tanks or cube storage on property?

We appreciate your attention and considerations of the matters listed above.

Sincerely,
Randall and Jayn Mills

Biggs, Lupe

From: Andrea Moreno <moreno.andreak323@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 3:27 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Concerns

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon

My name is Andrea Moreno and I am a resident of the area in Bloomington that is being affected by the proposal that is put in place. I have been living in this area for 11 years and am appalled by the increase of warehouses being built in my community. Not only is it a safety concern because of how close they continue to be built to our schools, but I foresee an issue with traffic among our community for the residents that actually live in the area every day. Health concerns due to the warehouse's activities are a priority. I strongly oppose the proposal to turn a single residential zoning to a community industrial and any future plans to put other warehouses in Bloomington. I fully believe other residents in the area feel the same and our voices cannot be ignored. This proposal benefits no one in the community and I ask that it be rejected. Thank you.

Best Regards,
Andrea Moreno

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kari Kalinich <kari.kalinich@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 4:21 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Fwd: Attn: Planning Commision

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Kari Kalinich <kari.kalinich@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Aug 17, 2022 at 4:19 PM
Subject: Attn: Planning Commision
To: <PlanningCommissionComments@lus.sbcounty>

This comment is for August 18, Thursday, Public Hearing, Item 3.

These plans will decrease traffic safety in the area by an obvious increase of traffic by semi-trailer trucks and commuters going to and from work. You may think that traffic bumps may deter the speeds of these vehicles but it will also rattle nearby residents' homes. Safety of our children walking will be put at risk. It's already unsafe for our equestrian community to ride in our neighborhoods, and the trails that will be built at the Shadow Rock Estates will not provide safety for those that will have to travel a distance to get there.

We already suffer from poor air quality but it seems like you don't care about anyone's health. The air quality not only affects our children and our elderly but also our animals. You are creating an unsafe environment and promoting such things as food apartheid. You are increasing fast food by building warehouses. Limiting ability to walk and ride safely in the streets. Our soil is rich and healthy in Bloomington and there are no plans on creating plans to protect them. What will happen if something toxic gets into the soil? How will you clean it up? If a fire should occur, what then?

Vote NO!

Kari Kalinich
3774 Mears Ave.
Jurupa Valley Ca 92509

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Caitlin Towne <caitietowne@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 5:57 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Public Comment for 8/18/22 meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good evening,

am writing to vehemently oppose Public Hearing item 3, which would mean yet another warehouse in south Bloomington.

The inland empire, and Bloomington in particular, does not need anymore warehouses. Warehouses are horrible for the environment, cause massive traffic congestion, and negatively impact residents' mental health as well as their quality of life. I grew up in South Ridge in Fontana and have been truly heartbroken by the way the community has been torn apart by warehouses.

I am a teacher at Joe Baca Middle School in Bloomington and have seen the negative impacts of warehouses near schools and neighborhoods, adding another to our community is heartless and will only cause further damage and despair to our communities.

Please do not support this terrible project, the "jobs" it will bring the the community are not worth the countless negative impacts it will have.

Again, I implore you to reject public hearing item 3. No more warehouses in our communities!

Sincerely
Caitlin Towne

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Francisco Diaz <fediaz112@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 5:58 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: 3. Public Hearing

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Honorable Members of the Planning Commission:

As a proud member of Laborers Local 783 and resident of San Bernardino County. I support the proposed projects # 2020-00127 and 2021-00131. This project will ensure that construction workers like myself will have an opportunity to find work close to home without having to travel to an outside county for work. This project will also ensure community benefits of providing Union Construction jobs for hundreds of men and women that work in the Logistics Industry. The project will also contribute to local public infrastructure that will encourage future development. This project will also enhance community activities by the applicant's one-time payment of \$902,493 to the Bloomington Community Fund. These are all the reasons why I support this project as a resident of San Bernardino County.

I appreciate your consideration on this matter and look forward to continuing my construction career in San Bernardino County.

Best regards,

Francisco Diaz

Colton Resident

Laborers Local 783 member

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Joseph Quezada <josephquezada@local783.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 4:58 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: 3. Public Hearing

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

VIA EMAIL

Planning Commission
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0187
PlanningCommissionComments@lus.sbcounty.gov

Dear Honorable Members of the Planning Commission:

As a proud member of Laborers Local 783 and resident of San Bernardino County. I support the proposed projects # 2020-00127 and 2021-00131. This project will ensure that construction workers like myself will have an opportunity to find work close to home without having to travel to an outside county for work. This project will also ensure community benefits of providing Union Construction jobs for hundreds of men and women that work in the Logistics Industry. The project will also contribute to local public infrastructure that will encourage future development. This project will also enhance community activities by the applicants onetime payment of \$902,493 to the Bloomington Community Fund. These are all the reason why I support this project as a resident of San Bernardino County. I appreciate your consideration on this matter and look forward to continuing my construction career in San Bernardino County.

Thanks

LiUNA 783

Joseph "Ponch" Quezada
Dispatcher/ Industrial Representative
104 West Benedict Street
San Bernardino, CA 92408
Office: 909-884-5321
Cell: 909-534-0930
dispatch@local783.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: sidFirst Name hultquistLast Name <sidfirstnamehultquistlastname@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 6:24 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Honorable Members of the Planning Commission:

As a proud member of Laborers Local 783 and resident of San Bernardino County. I support the proposed projects # 2020-00127 and 2021-00131. This project will ensure that construction workers like myself will have an opportunity to find work close to home without having to travel to an outside county for work. This project will also ensure community benefits of providing Union Construction jobs for hundreds of men and women that work in the Logistics Industry. The project will also contribute to local public infrastructure that will encourage future development. This project will also enhance community activities by the applicants onetime payment of \$902,493 to the Bloomington Community Fund. These are all the reason why I support this project as a resident of San Bernardino County.

I appreciate your consideration on this matter and look forward to continuing my construction career in San Bernardino County.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: George Cuevas <biggeorgecuevas1958@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 6:13 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Planning Commission

[385 N. Arrowhead Avenue](#)

[San Bernardino, CA 92415-0187](#)

PlanningCommissionComments@lus.sbcounty.gov

Dear Honorable Members of the Planning Commission:

As a proud member of Laborers Local 783 and resident of San Bernardino County. I George Cuevas support the proposed projects # 2020-00127 and 2021-00131. This project will ensure that construction workers like myself will have an opportunity to find work close to home without having to travel to an outside county for work. This project will also ensure community benefits of providing Union Construction jobs for hundreds of men and women that work in the Logistics Industry. The project will also contribute to local public infrastructure that will encourage future development. This project will also enhance community activities by the applicants onetime payment of \$902,493 to the Bloomington Community Fund. These are all the reason why I support this project as a resident of San Bernardino County.

I appreciate your consideration on this matter and look forward to continuing my construction career in San Bernardino County.

Thank you

George Cuevas, Liuna 783

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Bloomington Neighbor <concernedneighborbloomington@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 7:17 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Public Hearing Item 3 August 18, 2022 meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Planning Commission,

My name is Ana Carlos. I reside in Bloomington and am part of a group called Concerned Neighbors of Bloomington. We are a community group bringing attention to all the negative industrial projects being proposed in our community, next to homes or schools. Usually when these types of projects are proposed, only a small number of residents receive letters and notices in the mail.

We are against the rezoning of all lots in the mentioned project ITEM 3. We are opposed to changing the zone to Limited Industrial and Community Industrial. Our town is already burdened with too much traffic and bad air quality. We can not take any more of these types of projects. Please take into account the neighborhood around this project and take notice that there are homes surrounding the project to the east, south and west. These homes already suffer from the negative effects of the railyard. Adding more industrial to this area would be very harmful to the resident's health. If any of you are family oriented, think of the lives of the people living here and not of the profit to be made by investors. This project will bring in hundreds of trucks and vehicles into a town that already has unbearable traffic problems. The Cedar off ramp is being reconstructed starting this fall but none of these industrial projects were taken into account when engineered. It is made to fit the traffic and trucks that already exist, and not future projects. In the Bloomington Community Plans since 2007, it has always been mentioned that residents like the rural, small town feel that Bloomington has. We would like to keep it that way. We are an equestrian town and bringing in these industries and projects ruin the lifestyle of the residents. Please follow the community plans that Bloomington already has. Think of the mental health associated with people who have high cube warehouses in their backyard and will have no other views out of their windows. There are many other projects that are being proposed in Bloomington at the moment. Too many that even the community can not keep up with all the meetings for we are a working class community that can not make the 9am or 10am morning meetings.

The community was not taken into account when the applicant did community outreach because only residents that reside within 700 ft were sent notices. The rest of the community should have been taken into account since we all share one freeway ramp.

There is a housing crisis and I think that these lots would be better served as housing. The upzone site should also be served as future housing but kept at the 20,000 sq ft size to keep with the lifestyle of the neighbors who have small farms and keep farm animals.

I also want to mention that this is environmental racism. Environmental racism is defined as "the disproportionate impact of environmental hazards on people of color." Bloomington is being targeted with these types of projects and its residents make up 82.79% people of color. You as the planning commission have a job to do. To recommend or not recommend projects to the Supervisors, please do your job and do not recommend this project be approved.

Thank you, Concerned Neighbors of Bloomington

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Ana Carlos <ana26ana.ac@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 7:19 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Public Hearing Item 3 August 18, 2022

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Planning Commission,

My name is Ana Carlos and I reside in Bloomington. I am against the rezoning of all lots in the mentioned project ITEM 3. I am opposed to changing the zone to Limited Industrial and Community Industrial. Our town is already burdened with too much traffic and bad air quality. We can not take any more of these types of projects. Please take into account the neighborhood around this project and take notice that there are homes surrounding the project to the east, south and west. These homes already suffer from the negative effects of the railyard. Adding more industrial to this area would be very harmful to the resident's health. If any of you are family oriented, think of the lives of the people living here and not of the profit to be made by investors. This project will bring in hundreds of trucks and vehicles into a town that already has unbearable traffic problems. The Cedar off ramp is being reconstructed starting this fall but none of these industrial projects were taken into account when engineered. It is made to fit the traffic and trucks that already exist, and not future projects. In the Bloomington Community Plans since 2007, it has always been mentioned that residents like the rural, small town feel that Bloomington has. We would like to keep it that way. We are an equestrian town and bringing in these industries and projects ruin the lifestyle of the residents. Please follow the community plans that Bloomington already has. Think of the mental health associated with people who have high cube warehouses in their backyard and will have no other views out of their windows. There are many other projects that are being proposed in Bloomington at the moment. Too many that even the community can not keep up with all the meetings for we are a working class community that can not make the 9am or 10am morning meetings. There is a housing crisis and I think that these lots would be better served as housing. The upzone site should also be served as future housing but kept at the 20,000 sq ft size to keep with the lifestyle of the neighbors who have small farms and keep farm animals.

I also want to mention that this is environmental racism. Environmental racism is defined as "the disproportionate impact of environmental hazards on people of color." Bloomington is being targeted with these types of projects and its residents make up 82.79% people of color. You as the planning commission have a job to do. To recommend or not recommend projects to the Supervisors, please do your job and do not recommend this project be approved.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Cristina Lopez <crislopez80@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 7:52 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Fw: Proj 2020-00127 and Proj 2021-00131

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

⌘

Hi I hope you are having a wonderful day. I really hope you would all truly evaluate these proposals and projects you throw at the community of Bloomington. This does NOT benefit the children or community of Bloomington. This will only cause more issues for the Bloomington community such as more crime, more pollution, more traffic school's getting shut down. It's concerning all this is going on and being built around school's. So that either school's get torn down or child trafficking is made easier for the criminals. Building close to parks is also not a good idea, which it feels like you don't think about and if you do seems like you don't care. You are not concerned because this doesn't effect you. But it effects us the community of Bloomington. So please STOP! If we had money to relocate we sure would, but this is our home so let's keep it safe and let's be community oriented instead of just thinking of the money all time. STOP BUILDING, YOU'RE DESTROYING OUR COMMUNITY!!!

[Sent from the all new AOL app for Android](#)

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Cristina Lopez <crislopez80@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 8:09 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments; Liang, Aron
Subject: Slover/Cactus

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good evening. I really hope you would all truly evaluate these proposals and projects you throw at the community of Bloomington. The more of these proposals I see the more disgusted it makes me to see all you think about is yourselves and how to profit. This does NOT benefit the community of Bloomington. This will only cause more issues for the community of Bloomington such as more crime, more pollution, more traffic school's getting shut down to accommodate these businesses. It's concerning all this is going on and being built around school's, parks our homes. So that either school's get torn down or child trafficking is made easier for the criminals. It feels like you don't think about what you're doing to our community and if you do think about it, it seems like you don't care. You are not concerned because this doesn't effect you, your children, your home or your community. But it effects us the community of Bloomington. So please STOP! This is our home so let's keep it safe and let's be community oriented instead of just thinking of the money all time. This is a family oriented community not an industrial playground for the rich and money.hungry adults. STOP BUILDING, YOU'RE DESTROYING OUR COMMUNITY!!hared via the [AOL App](#)

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Ernesto Carlos <vaquerozlg@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 9:14 PM
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Public Hearing Item 3 8-18-22

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Planning Commission,

My name is Ernesto Carlos and I reside in Bloomington. I am against the rezoning of all lots in the mentioned project ITEM 3. I am opposed to changing the zone to Limited Industrial and Community Industrial. Our town is already burdened with too much traffic and bad air quality. We can not take any more of these types of projects. Please take into account the neighborhood around this project and take notice that there are homes surrounding the project to the east, south and west. These homes already suffer from the negative effects of the railyard. Adding more industrial to this area would be very harmful to the resident's health. If any of you are family oriented, think of the lives of the people living here and not of the profit to be made by investors. This project will bring in hundreds of trucks and vehicles into a town that already has unbearable traffic problems. The Cedar off ramp is being reconstructed starting this fall but none of these industrial projects were taken into account when engineered. It is made to fit the traffic and trucks that already exist, and not future projects. In the Bloomington Community Plans since 2007, it has always been mentioned that residents like the rural, small town feel that Bloomington has. We would like to keep it that way. We are an equestrian town and bringing in these industries and projects ruin the lifestyle of the residents. Please follow the community plans that Bloomington already has. Think of the mental health associated with people who have high cube warehouses in their backyard and will have no other views out of their windows. There are many other projects that are being proposed in Bloomington at the moment. Too many that even the community can not keep up with all the meetings for we are a working class community that can not make the 9am or 10am morning meetings. There is a housing crisis and I think that these lots would be better served as housing. The upzone site should also be served as future housing but kept at the 20,000 sq ft size to keep with the lifestyle of the neighbors who have small farms and keep farm animals.

I also want to mention that this is environmental racism. Environmental racism is defined as "the disproportionate impact of environmental hazards on people of color." Bloomington is being targeted with these types of projects and its residents make up 82.79% people of color. You as the planning commission have a job to do. To recommend or not recommend projects to the Supervisors, please do your job and do not recommend this project be approved.