

From: [Kathleen Seeley](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Flamingo 640
Date: Saturday, March 4, 2023 2:07:55 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I take it the March 9th meeting will be none other than a dog and pony show. By not previously demanding a full CEQA you have already given the go ahead to this monstrous project. This special, fragile area of the Mesa is NO PLACE FOR A COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISE.

I 100% oppose the Flamingo 640 project, as it will signal the beginning of the end of our rural community. The horrendous and massive scope of this ABOMINATION will destroy endangered flora and fauna. The wash and area adjacent to it, where this ABOMINATION would be built, is the ONLY VIABLE WILDLIFE CORRIDOR IN THE AREA EAST OF 247. Furthermore, the 60,000 sq ft septic and water usage will contribute to environmental degradation, and of course, we will see an explosion of ORVs racing down the wash, going over open desert w no trails, and of course racing about our neighborhood, destroying as they go!

I ASK YOU, PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS, WHY ARE YOU SELLING OUT YOUR CONSTITUENTS IN FAVOR OF A GREEDY BILLIONAIRE THAT SEEKS TO MAKE MILLIONS OUT OF DESTROYING HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF WILDLIFE AND OF COURSE, OUR ONCE PEACEFUL RURAL COMMUNITY? WHAT'S IN IT FOR YOU???????????????? HMMMMM...

This ABOMINATION is not a campground in any sense of the word, rather a sort of hotel complex where moronic urbanites seek some sort of faux desert experience. Just put the project by the side of the I-10, the urbanites will never notice the difference!

If you vote to allow this ABOMINATION to go forward, then you and Botthof need to fund a minimum of a \$200 million dollar trust fund to compensate the families of the local residents that are injured or killed as the drunken urbanites stumble out of the bar and enter 247.

AGAIN I ASK YOU - WHY ARE SELLING OUT YOUR CONSTITUENTS???? I SMELL GREASE...

Kathleen Seeley

From: [Marissa Maggio](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Flamingo Glamping site project
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 12:17:15 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern;

The IS presented for this project is not an adequate compliance document as there will be permanent unmitigable impacts to a large swath of sensitive habitat.

An EIS would be required for this type of impact to listed species.

-Marissa

Sent from my iPhone

From: [dave raphael](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Flamingo Heights proposal
Date: Friday, March 3, 2023 5:37:56 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello-

Writing to express my concern about the proposed glamping permit in Flamingo Heights near Landers. There can be no economic benefit this brings to the county to offset the trash it would attract. Please consider the local harm this land use can bring to the community.

regards

Dave Raphael

From: [yan zhang](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments; ShortTermRental](#)
Date: Monday, March 6, 2023 8:25:32 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello, we are in the valley region of San Bernadino. I was told that we are not allowed to operate short term rental by ordinance. Yet the ordinance did not state that we could not have short term rental in the valley region. I believe the right to rent is within property owner's right.

I would be very appreciated if you could confirm my interpretation of this ordinance. Thank you.

Yan Zhang, MD **National Medical Center**

Address: 3665 bilberry road, San Bernadino, CA 92407.
Tel: 909-270-1830 Fax: 1-888-523-5237

[Https://yan-zhang-md.square.site](https://yan-zhang-md.square.site)
We provide tele health service to entire California!

Our partner rental and ecommerce site: <https://food-forest-resort-market-place.square.site>

<https://youtu.be/UlkNivTy064>

<https://youtu.be/cDeIWu6hrYY>

From: [Elena Tillman](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: PROJ 2020-00191
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 1:04:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

Elena Tillman

--

Best,
Elena Tillman

From: [Michaela Grubb](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Resort Camping
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 12:15:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

My name is Michaela Grubb and I recently have spent a fair share of my time throughout the Mojave desert, specifically conducting desert tortoise surveys and have dove into attempting to understand the multifaceted ecosystem that is the Mojave. I saw this application for a glampground permit and I have many concerns. First off, our deserts are already at stake due to solar-energy projects that are popping up left and right. These projects fragment the habitat for all animals that surveys in the desert including desert tortoises, Mohave ground squirrels, burrowing owls, and multiple species of rattlesnakes and lizards. They also destroy bio-crust, which is a thin layer of lichen, mosses, and cyanobacteria that take hundreds of years to develop and sequester carbon (remove carbon dioxide from our atmosphere, much like trees do). We already have the battle with the solar farms, and we don't need to add to that with a glamping experience. The desert is such a fragile ecosystem that is full of life, and a campground that is made for the rich is going to cause more problems for the desert. I can see this glampground creating more trash and wasting the precious resources (like water) that locals desperately need, especially during drought periods. The fact that this land will be private also erks me, don't we already have enough exclusivity in areas that are proximal to LA? Please don't approve this project for the sake of the locals, animals, and our planet at large

From: [Joshua Kjerstad](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Project #: PROJ-2020-00191
Date: Saturday, March 4, 2023 8:32:19 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Planning Commission:

Hello, my name is Joshua Kjerstad. I am a local homeowner of 13 years and I have been a resident and member of our community for 17 years in Joshua Tree.

I cannot adequately express how unhappy our community is about this proposed project. There are several reasons that this development is harmful and undesirable to us. There are only a very select few who would experience any benefit from this project and their benefits would only be monetary.

Many of us find this situation to be grossly irresponsible of the developers' group.

It is expected of the Planning Commission to acknowledge the grievances of the good citizens of San Bernardino in this area, and to respect our wishes; whereby this Commission must make its decisions that please the public.

Thank you,
Josh Kjerstad

From: [Eric Hamburg](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Monday, March 6, 2023 11:05:06 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Eric Hamburg

e-mail

ehamburg@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Chelsea Kent](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 10:11:55 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Chelsea Kent

e-mail

chelsea.kent@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Karolina Ruiz](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 10:13:56 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Karolina Ruiz

e-mail

k.ruiz182@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Cat Landry](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 10:27:27 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Cat Landry

e-mail

catlandry@mac.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Keep our serene desert community quiet! There is so little open space in California and we want to keep our beautiful desert safe from overcrowding.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Michelle Ladendecker](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 10:33:34 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Michelle Ladendecker

e-mail

mlad@twc.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Elizabeth I. Bird](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 11:10:01 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Elizabeth I. Bird

e-mail

lizziebeth705@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Absolute NO to the resort & the 'glamping', and NO to any 'small, public campsites. We are already too many, too much, too trafficky, too noisy, too crowded, too much vulture capitalism, the disappearance of the dark skies. NO MORE GREEDY DEVELOPMENT. It's all about the money, nothing about We The People.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Floy_Creveling](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 11:23:52 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Floy Creveling

e-mail

g.creveling@att.net

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Thank you for your attention to the concerns expressed in the above letter. These issues are of huge importance for those of us who live in this very special area of California.

Sincerely,
Floy Creveling

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Flor Mota](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 11:25:12 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Flor Mota

e-mail

flor.mota@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Jesse Eidsness](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 11:39:25 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Jesse Eidsness

e-mail

jesse.eidsness@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Linnea Stephan](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 12:05:28 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Linnea Stephan

e-mail

linneastephan@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

With all of my concern - Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy,
Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel,
Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem
Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an

area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Crys Lee](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 12:39:21 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Crys Lee

e-mail

crys413@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Please stop letting rich people trash the wilderness and it's precious ecosystems so they can post on social media.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Rob Piro](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 12:46:10 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Rob Piro

e-mail

apirova@yahoo.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Melinda Leeburg](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 12:54:20 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Melinda Leeburg

e-mail

hhpmel@aol.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Debra Cava](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 2:08:16 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Debra Cava

e-mail

kepi6@yahoo.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.
Debra Cava

If additional comments, enter here:

We love our desert the way it is not the way rich investors think it should be. Leave our home alone and go destroy your neighborhoods... where you live.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Patti Kitt](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 2:19:01 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Patti Kitt

e-mail

kittap@aol.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

We must do all we can to stop the encroachment into the habitats of our treasured Desert Critters. Humans and animals alike, treasure our beautiful and peaceful environment.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Danielle Levy](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 2:57:20 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Danielle Levy

e-mail

danielleshelilevy@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Victoria Eyton](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:04:15 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Victoria Eyton

e-mail

victoriaeyton@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Jessamine Bartley-Matthews](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:05:52 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Jessamine Bartley-Matthews

e-mail

jessabm@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is two-fold: traffic safety and
environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
important wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except danger on the roads, unnecessary hazard in an area
already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, the knowing

disruption and destruction of the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Lindi Mills](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:15:06 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Lindi Mills

e-mail

miachdr@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Anna Gyenge](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:21:54 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Anna Gyenge

e-mail

ajgyng@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Eleanor Wen](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:31:04 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Eleanor Wen

e-mail

ellie.wen@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Zsofia Gereby](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:50:10 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Zsofia Gereby

e-mail

gereby.zsofi@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Marjorie Garcia](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:50:23 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Marjorie Garcia

e-mail

Garciamarjorie90@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Katie Callan](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 4:41:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Katie Callan

e-mail

foundcollective@yahoo.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

This is insanely inappropriate for our rural desert location. Better fit in an area with less wildlife and more water resources.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Melissa](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 5:20:24 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Melissa

e-mail

msvanzetti@yahoo.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you,
Melissa Grisi

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Cathy czech](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 5:52:55 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Cathy czech

e-mail

cathyczech@hotmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.
Cathy

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Chris Loecher](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 5:55:50 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Chris Loecher

e-mail

chrisloe@att.net

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

As a resident of Morongo Valley, I also work in that area and own land in Johnson Valley. It's completely irresponsible to even consider a development such as this. As the above comments clearly illustrate, there are a multitude of reasons why this private "resort" should not be allowed. Please know concerned citizens are watching the is VERY closely and we expect nothing but complete transparency.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Danielle Jackson](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:26:57 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Danielle Jackson

e-mail

dyella0123@hotmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

We are losing employees in our local major employment businesses here due to lack of living, but you all have no problem adding tourist and ruining our community for nothing but more money!!!

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Amy Keeler](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:29:50 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Amy Keeler

e-mail

amy.kim.keeler@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

As a lover and owner of rescue tortoises, this proposal is abhorrent. It's utter lack of regard for desert tortoise habitats and life in general is disgusting as profit and financial gain clearly mean more. Please do not allow this to go forward. Our desert ecosystem is counting on you.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Kaylen Hanson](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:29:54 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Kaylen Hanson

e-mail

undertheseacleaning@aol.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Jessica Miller](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:39:53 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Jessica Miller

e-mail

jessrcapretti@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Robert Dalton](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:39:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Robert Dalton

e-mail

robertdalton59@outlook.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Cindy Dalton](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:43:17 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Cindy Dalton

e-mail

cindydalton0122@hotmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

I'm concerned about the traffic and potential accidents

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Cathy Zimmerman](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 6:58:27 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Cathy Zimmerman

e-mail

zimmcathy7@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

It will cause a major traffic disaster along with ruining the desert wildlife and environment there are already too many accidents from hwy 62 to 247 I am totally against it .

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Julia Calabrese](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 7:18:39 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Julia Calabrese

e-mail

cbrese@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Please help to preserve this beautiful landscape. I lived in JT for years and while tourism is great for the community to an extent this development will only hard the environment and the community. It is projects like these are eroding the beauty of this wild and wonderful place. Approving this project would be short sighted and destructive.
Please don't let this project move forward!

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Heidi Pearson](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 7:19:31 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Heidi Pearson

e-mail

anderpear@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert and a homeowner I. Flamingo Heights. I have lived here in the desert for 10 years and have been in Flamingo Heights for 4 years. I oppose this glamping project in my neighborhood for a variety of reasons that would impact me as a homeowner and resident. This project is a threat to the preservation of rural living, its zoning, peaceful and serene landscape, wildlife, and the negative impacts to traffic safety this project would cause. I am actively opposed to this development project and believe the development of the Flamingo 640 project will only have negative impacts to our community and my own neighborhood.

As someone who makes a living in commercial development I have tremendous concerns that the proposed project is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community. Our community is invested in the long term livability and viability of our area and I believe the developer is only looking to capitalize on the current value of the Joshua Tree name. I do not believe they will add anything to our neighborhood or our quality of life but will only be detrimental. I also think that this project must, at a minimum, undergo the rigorous scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report as any project should be required to do in the same circumstances.

Beyond the other detrimental impacts to my life and homeownership here in Flamingo Heights, my concerns about the project is primarily two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. I feel I take my life in my hands anytime I leave my home and HWY 247 is the only road in and out. With very limited services the proposed guests if this resort would spend a tremendous amount of time traveling back and forth to the areas attractions. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic especially when weekend traffic is

already so challenging and it has begun to be the same on weekdays.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. As a resident and homeowner I demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling ignored, dismissed and betrayed by County actions that out the monetary desires of a development firm over the residents.

Thank you,

Heidi Pearson

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Sylvia Sewell](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 7:29:49 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Sylvia Sewell

e-mail

slvswll@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Wendy Marlatt](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 7:29:51 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Wendy Marlatt

e-mail

wendymarlattje5u5@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Please stop this! Stop wrecking our desert! We live here, It's our home! We do NOT want the Famingo 640 resort camping/ glamping!

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Wendy Marlatt](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 7:30:03 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Wendy Marlatt

e-mail

wendymarlattje5u5@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience
or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add
nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add
unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat

from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Please stop this! Stop wrecking our desert! We live here, it's our home! We do NOT want the Famingo 640 resort camping/ glamping!

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Corinne Leemann](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 7:48:55 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Corinne Leemann

e-mail

corinne.leemann@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Reto Kaufmann](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 7:49:12 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Reto Kaufmann

e-mail

760reto@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Jason Maze](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 8:03:21 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Jason Maze

e-mail

jasonandrewmaze@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Steve Reid](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 8:51:49 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Steve Reid

e-mail

sreidmx@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Barbara Le Beau](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 8:58:19 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Barbara Le Beau

e-mail

blebeau61@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

We just don't need this in a sensitive landscape area, or along Hwy 247.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [McCoy Michael](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:14:57 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

McCoy Michael

e-mail

mikemccoy2@mac.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

I'm a huge supporter of this project and feel our desert needs more visitors as the economy is sinking to new lows. I live in Golden Slipper in Landers and I'm puzzled as to why it's so difficult for businesses to get the necessary support from local governments. I ask those opposed of this project what they are protecting as I see local residents dumping their garbage in the dessert - not tourists. Drug use is rampant as well as unemployment.

If additional comments, enter
here:

Spend less time opposing projects like this and more time supporting businesses that will save the community economically.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Allyson Conley](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:17:21 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Allyson Conley

e-mail

allyconley11@aol.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I think this is a wonderful project that would bring many jobs and
tourists to help our small community. Why is the World trying to
stop innovation and growth to keep up with the "times" today.

Right now, there is nothing but meth heads hanging around near
that gas station and the land sits vacant with nothing to look at.

Please consider both sides as this town is so down and out at
this very moment.

Thank you!!!!

If additional comments, enter
here:

It's not tourist dumping around our land, it's the locals living on
welfare and in a rut. We can help everyone in this community
with this project and the growth.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Allyson Conley](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:23:02 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Allyson Conley

e-mail

allyconley11@aol.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I think this is a wonderful project that would bring many jobs and
tourists to help our small community. Why is the World trying to
stop innovation and growth to keep up with the "times" today.

Right now, there is nothing but meth heads hanging around near
that gas station and the land sits vacant with nothing to look at.

Please consider both sides as this town is so down and out at
this very moment.

Thank you!!!!

If additional comments, enter
here:

It's not tourist dumping around our land, it's the locals living on
welfare and in a rut. We can help everyone in this community
with this project and the growth.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Colin Sussingham](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:26:51 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Colin Sussingham

e-mail

colin.sussingham@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Zoe Woodcraft](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:48:40 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Zoe Woodcraft

e-mail

z.woodcraft@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Jessica Graybill](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:51:34 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Jessica Graybill

e-mail

jagraybill@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Nora Gyenge](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:51:41 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Nora Gyenge

e-mail

gyengenora@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Patrick Dale](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:53:47 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Patrick Dale

e-mail

patrickmdale@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Veronika Mester-Holzinger](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 9:54:39 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Veronika Mester-Holzinger

e-mail

holzingervera@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Nickeisha Thomas](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 10:15:10 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Nickeisha Thomas

e-mail

nickeishathomas@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Hope S](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 10:37:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Hope S

e-mail

hopebjschroeder@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [zora_pataki](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 10:41:09 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

zora pataki

e-mail

zorapataki@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Borbala Szelei](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 10:50:31 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Borbala Szelei

e-mail

szeleiborbala@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Borbala Okcsvary](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 11:14:02 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Borbala Okcsvary

e-mail

olcsvarybori@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Hargita Domotor-Turchanyi](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 11:27:34 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Hargita Domotor-Turchanyi

e-mail

dhargita@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Hargita Domotor-Turchanyi](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 11:27:34 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Hargita Domotor-Turchanyi

e-mail

dhargita@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Digna Irizarry Cassens](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 12:14:29 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Digna Irizarry Cassens

e-mail

cassensdigna@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is two-fold: traffic safety and
environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two-lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National Park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.
Digna Irizarry Cassens
Anthony A Cassens

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Katalin Laszlo](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 12:43:14 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Katalin Laszlo

e-mail

katalin.laszlo@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Emese Turchányi](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 1:22:06 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Emese Turchányi

e-mail

temese@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Glamping is a fashion trend only lasting a bit. Nature (and within that turtles) are living there for thousands of years and should be enjoyed by everyone of the upcoming generations. Not just those who are too afraid of them

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Darcy Phillips](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 1:40:37 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Darcy Phillips

e-mail

dwpbelmont@aol.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Janelle Painter](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:04:02 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Janelle Painter

e-mail

lovelyjanelle24@hotmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Benji Beach](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:07:50 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Benji Beach

e-mail

benjibeach@icloud.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Danielle Wall](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:34:45 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Danielle Wall

e-mail

wall.danielle@hotmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

The amount of venomous snakes in that area is dangerous for all involved, and the animals deserve their home!!

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Shana Rhodes](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 6:06:16 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Shana Rhodes

e-mail

divineplayfulness@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Ronald](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 6:21:58 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Ronald

e-mail

bridgesr99@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

We don't company coming here to deplet our water supply and raping the land for there enjoyment.

If the want come here and change our way of living. Because they don't like where they live stay where there at.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Joseph waggoner](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:13:02 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Joseph waggoner

e-mail

josephkwaggoner@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Adam Villacin](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:25:45 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Adam Villacin

e-mail

Adamvillacin@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I have lived across the street from the proposed project for ten years and raised my son in the peace and quiet of nature. Traffic has become much worse and more dangerous over the years, and this project will create chaos and be the cause of countless car accidents. The light and noise pollution will destroy the sanctity of our precious desert. The environmental impact will be devastating. A glamping resort is the last thing our community needs-it will only bring harm to residents, travelers, the landscape, protected flora and fauna, the night sky, and the local economy. Please help save our desert by stopping this harmful project.

I endorse the following:

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.

Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also

concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

Adam Villacin

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Dorothy Choat](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:33:10 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Dorothy Choat

e-mail

dchoat22@aol.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

My neighborhood has been inundated already with many! Air b&b. The trash is TERRIBLE. There is an accident in the corner weekly if not daily. And did I mention the trash ? So much trash. !!!!

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Jia Li](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:48:00 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Jia Li

e-mail

jia_li@me.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Kimberly White](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:52:54 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Kimberly White

e-mail

whitekim23@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I am also curious to know why a private restaurant for 300 guests is 10,000 sqft... given the fact that an outdoor 25,000:seat venue was already removed from the plans, I'd like to know if there are any provisions to prevent a large scale music venue being built. At what point does this enterprise convert from private to public?

Has anyone addressed the fact that this glamping site follows the Landers earthquake faultline?

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Roxana Lopez](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:59:12 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Roxana Lopez

e-mail

roxana@icasandiego.org

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Harout Gulesserian](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:11:44 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Harout Gulesserian

e-mail

haroutgulesserian@yahoo.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

I am a resident in Landers and oppose this resort.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Dore Rios](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:16:09 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Dore Rios

e-mail

dorerios@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Dylan Sommer](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:21:30 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Dylan Sommer

e-mail

dsommer12@icloud.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Natasha Shelby](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:22:50 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Natasha Shelby

e-mail

tashabear17@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Proceeding without an environmental impact report is outrageous. Please take these requests seriously. We live here. Thank you.

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Ali Tahani](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:30:00 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Ali Tahani

e-mail

alitahani0084@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Also it hurts small local business in tourist industry!

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [William Kingsbury](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:34:51 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

William Kingsbury

e-mail

willkingsbury95@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

Hasn't it gotten bad enough in the Mojave?

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Schafer Newman](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:38:41 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Schafer Newman

e-mail

schafernewman@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Benjamin Vital III](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:44:28 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Benjamin Vital III

e-mail

bvital3@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [John Abraham Flores](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:50:50 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

John Abraham Flores

e-mail

johnnnfff@yahoo.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Chase Bingham](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:52:39 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Chase Bingham

e-mail

chasebingham@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Melissa Vazquez](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:58:51 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Melissa Vazquez

e-mail

melissa.vazquez1@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Casey Ruiz](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 10:00:27 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Casey Ruiz

e-mail

casey@vagabondroasting.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Annabel Flesher](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 10:05:20 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Annabel Flesher

e-mail

annabelflesher@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. This
lane has already been loved too much! It's going to destroy the
beauty that remains if we don't act now to protect it!

Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"

in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Michaela Patrovsky](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 10:08:42 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Michaela Patrovsky

e-mail

mpatrovskychacon@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Please listen to and seriously consider the concerns that organizations and individuals have brought to your attention. Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Jonah Olson](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 10:10:56 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Jonah Olson

e-mail

jonah.olson@gmail.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Jillian Villanueva](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 10:11:38 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Jillian Villanueva

e-mail

jvonv@ne.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Detto Kennedy](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 10:20:52 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name

Detto Kennedy

e-mail

tbkennedy@live.com

Letter to Planning
Commissioners

Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik,
Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and
Commissioner Kareem Gong,

I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert.
Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of
rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety,
and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640
project, I am concerned that the development is still moving
forward without addressing the points raised by the community
and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full
Environmental Impact Report.

My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety
and environmental impact. This development would pose a
significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247,
which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The
highway cannot support increased constant traffic.

The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most
beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering
Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert
tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and
more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also
concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western
Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of
California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am
writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under
CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact,
air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other
important issues. Because of the impact to our community,
safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is
simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project.

This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel"
in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community
except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an
area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:

You can [edit this submission](#) and [view all your submissions](#) easily.

From: [Sohan Noah Youngelson](#)
To: [Planning Commission Comments](#)
Subject: Say NO to the Flamingo 640 Glamping Project PROJ-2020-00191
Date: Sunday, March 5, 2023 8:32:04 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Planning Commission:

My wife and I own a home in Landers which is a fifteen-minute drive from the site of the proposed Flamingo 640-acre Glamping project. We, as well as the vast majority of residents in the area, oppose this project for many reasons:

- 1) This project will endanger wildlife along one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including desert tortoises and burrowing owls that have been spotted in the area; threaten Joshua Trees (this project plans to remove at least 34 trees), Mojave Yuccas, and more.
- 2) This development will strain the land with a 60,000 square foot sewage disposal area. It will also exacerbate our already fragile local water resources. This development will significantly add light, air and noise pollution in a currently serene desert expanse, which can carry for miles.
- 3) This development does nothing to help our local community, but instead stresses our limited resources of housing, water and food and destroys the serene quality of life that we have worked so hard to maintain.
- 4) This project would exacerbate the overcrowding of Joshua Tree National Park.
- 5) The area is zoned for 'rural living', not commercial which the

applicants have circumvented with a Conditional Use Permit (CUP).

6) It is a bad investment - this project is proposed in an area that is already one of the most saturated short-term rental markets in the country. As well, glamping in an area that has frequent high winds, (up to 80 miles an hour) makes no sense. Ultimately a project of this size will alter and damage our local desert environment that tourists seek, thereby losing tourism and spending in our area.

For our wildlife, land, and community we ask that you reject this project.

Sincerely,

Noah Youngelson