

# COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY & NOTICE OF INTENT

This is to announce that the County of San Bernardino intends to adopt an Initial Study / Negative Declaration for the below described project. In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the CEQA Guidelines, County Staff prepared a Draft Initial Study / Negative Declaration (IS/ND) that identify and evaluate the environmental impacts of the below-described project:

APN: 3068-551-03

APPLICANT: DUHA CHO

COMMUNITY: PHELAN/1ST RD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT

LOCATION: NORTHWEST CORNER OF BUCKWHEAT AND SMOKETREE ROADS

PROJECT NO: P201000472; TPM 19285

STAFF: OXSO SHAHRIARI, PLANNER

REP("S): THATCHER ENGINEEING

PROPOSAL: TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP TO CREATE FOUR PARCELS ON 20 ACRES

**Environmental Review and Public Comment**: The circulation of the Draft Negative Declaration and Initial Study is meant to encourage written public comments. Interested persons can review the Draft IS/ND at: <a href="http://cms.sbcounty.gov/lus/planning/environmental/noticesdeterminations.aspx">http://cms.sbcounty.gov/lus/planning/environmental/noticesdeterminations.aspx</a> and at the following physical location:

High Desert Government Center 15900 Smoke Tree Street, First Floor Hesperia, CA 92345

If unavailable on the web site, the document may be obtained in electronic format by calling the Land Use Services Department at (909) 387-4131 or (760) 995-8140, or by emailing the project Planner at <a href="mailto:oshahriari@lusd.sbcounty.gov">oshahriari@lusd.sbcounty.gov</a> to request a PDF version of the document.

The public comment period will end on 12/04/2012 at 5:00 PM. Please submit comments to oshahriari@lusd.sbcounty.gov or to:

Oxso Shahriari, Project Planner County of San Bernardino Land Use Services Department, Planning Division 15900 Smoke Tree Street, First Floor Hesperia, CA 92345





#### **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

(Staff Action)

385 North Arrowhead Avenue, First Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0182

## **Project Description**

APN: 3068-551-03

APPLICANT: DUHA CHO

COMMUNITY: PHELAN/1ST RD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT

LOCATION: NORTHWEST CORNER OF BUCKWHEAT AND SMOKETREE ROADS

PROJECT NO: P201000472; TPM 19285
STAFF: OXSO SHAHRIARI, PLANNER
REP('S): THATCHER ENGINEEING

PROPOSAL: TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP TO CREATE FOUR PARCELS ON 20 ACRES

Mitigation measures, if any are required as conditions of approval, are self-monitoring and will reduce any impacts to a less than significant level.

## Effective date of (Proposed) Negative Declaration: December 4, 2012

## (After 10-day appeal period)

Plans and specifications for the referenced project are available for public inspection in the San Bernardino County Land Use Services Department, Planning Division.

Pursuant to provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act and the San Bernardino County Environmental Review Guidelines, the above referenced project has been determined not to have a significant effect upon the environment. An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Reasons to support this finding are included in the written Initial Study prepared by the San Bernardino County Land Use Services Department, Planning Division.

The decision may be appealed by any aggrieved person, organization or agency to the County Planning Commission. Appeals shall be filed before the effective date of the Negative Declaration listed above. The Notice of Appeal shall be in writing and shall be filed with the appropriate fee at the San Bernardino County Government Center Public Information Counter during normal business hours.

November 20, 2012

Signature and Title: Oxso Shahriari, Project Planner Services Dept, Current Planning Division

Date of Determination Land Use

REV. 01/02/04 - JPM

# SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

This form and the descriptive information in the application package constitute the contents of initial Study pursuant to County Guidelines under Ordinance 3040 and Section 15063 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

## **PROJECT LABEL:**

APN: 3068-551-03

APPLICANT: DUHA CHO

COMMUNITY: PHELAN/1ST RD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT
LOCATION: NORTHWEST CORNER OF BUCKWHEAT AND

SMOKETREE ROADS

PROJECT NO: P201000472; TPM 19285 STAFF: OXSO SHAHRIARI, PLANNER

REP('S): THATCHER ENGINEEING

PROPOSAL: TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP TO CREATE FOUR

PARCELS ON 20 ACRES

USGS Quad: BALDY MESA

T, R, Section: T4N R6W Sec.34 NE1/4

Thomas Bros.: 4564-B4

Planning Area: PHELAN

OLUD: Community Industrial (IC)

Overlays: FS-2

#### PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION:

Lead agency: County of San Bernardino

Land Use Services Department – Planning Division

385 North Arrowhead Avenue; First Floor

San Bernardino, CA 92415-0182

Contact person: Oxso Shahriari, Project Planner

E-mail: oshahriari@lusd.sbcounty.gov

**Project Sponsor:** Thatcher Engineering

1461 Ford Street; STE 105 Redlands, CA 92373

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

The proposed project is Tentative Parcel Map 19285 to create four parcels on 20 acres. The project site is located to the northwest corner of Buckwheat and Smoketree Roads; in the community of Phelan; located in the 1ST Supervisorial District. The project site is zoned Community Industrial (IC) with a minimum lot size requirement of 5 acres. This site is regulated by Fire Safety Overlay-2 (FS-2) and Flood Plain Safety Overlay-1 (FP1).

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL/EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS:**

The project site is surrounded by similarly zoned parcels with various zoning districts. A General Biological Resources Assessment has been prepared by the RCA Associates. No sensitive species were observed during the April 3, 2012 surveys. No sensitive habitats such as blueline streams, wetlands, etc. are identified on the site. No definitive wildlife movement corridors were noted on the property or in adjacent areas. The study finds that the site supports a mixed desert scrub community dominated by California Juniper, Joshua Tree, Ephedra, Desert Sage, and Rabbit Brush. The referenced study's findings will be incorporated into the conditions of approval to ensure any potential adverse impact will be less than significant, specifically for the protected Joshua Trees. No additional improvements are proposed at this time.

Initial Study

Page 2 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

AREA	EXISTING LAND USE	ZONING DISTRICT
Site	Vacant	Community Industrial (IC)
North	Vacant	Rural Living (RL)
South	Landfill	Community Industrial (IC)
East	Vacant	Community Industrial (IC)
West	Landfill	Community Industrial (IC)

Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.):

<u>Federal</u>: Fish & Wildlife; <u>State of California</u>: Regional Water Quality Control Board, Fish & Game; <u>County of San Bernardino</u>: County Surveyor; Public Health – Environmental Health Services; Public Works – Roads/Drainage; County Fire/ Fire Protection Agency; Land Use Services – Building and Safety; Planning; <u>Traffic</u>; <u>Local</u>: Phelan Pinion Hills CSD.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

# **EVALUATION FORMAT:**

This initial study is prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. This format of the study is presented as follows. The project is evaluated based upon its effect on seventeen (17) major categories of environmental factors. Each factor is reviewed by responding to a series of questions regarding the impact of the project on each element of the overall factor. The Initial Study Checklist provides a formatted analysis that provides a determination of the effect of the project on the factor and its elements. The effect of the project is categorized into one of the following four categories of possible determinations:

Potentially Less than Less than No Significant Significant Significant Impact Impact with Mitigation Page 3 of 33

Substantiation is then provided to justify each determination. One of the four following conclusions is then provided as a summary of the analysis for each of the major environmental factors.

- 1. Therefore, no impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
- 2. Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
- 3. Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as a condition of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant. The required mitigation measures are: (List mitigation measures).
- 4. Significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is required to evaluate these impacts, which are (Listing the impacts requiring analysis within the EIR).

At the end of the analysis the required mitigation measures are restated and categorized as being either self- monitoring or as requiring a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

Initial Study

Page 4 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

# **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

	ct that is a "Potentially Significant Imp			•	
	Aesthetics Biological Resources Hazards & Hazardous Materials Mineral Resources Public Services Utilities / Service Systems		Agriculture Resources Cultural Resources Hydrology / Water Quality Noise Recreation Mandatory Findings of Significance		Air Quality Geology /Soils Land Use/ Planning Population / Housing Transportation/Traffic
DET	ERMINATION: (To be completed by	the	Lead Agency)		
On th	ne basis of this initial evaluation, the	follo	wing finding is made:		
$\boxtimes$	The proposed project COULD NO DECLARATION will be prepared.	)T h	ave a significant effect on the	enviro	onment, and a NEGATIVE
	Although the proposed project coul significant effect in this case becau project proponent. A MITIGATED N	se re	evisions in the project have been	made	e by or agreed to by the
	The proposed project MAY have a IMPACT REPORT is required.	signi	ficant effect on the environment,	and a	an ENVIRONMENTAL
	The proposed project MAY have mitigated" impact on the environm earlier document pursuant to app measures based on the earlier a IMPACT REPORT is required, but it	ent, licab analy	but at least one effect 1) has the legal standards, and 2) has sis as described on attached	een a beer sheet	adequately analyzed in an n addressed by mitigation is. An ENVIRONMENTAL
	Although the proposed project coul significant effects (a) have been a pursuant to applicable standards, a NEGATIVE DECLARATION, inclu proposed project, nothing further is	nalyz ind (l ding	red adequately in an earlier EIR o) have been avoided or mitigate revisions or mitigation measur	or Ned pur	EGATIVE DECLARATION suant to that earlier EIR or
_	Signature (prepared by): Oxso Shahriari, Planne	F		Pate: 10	-12-2012
-	Signature: Heidi Duron; Supervising Planner			Date: 10	D-12-2012

**Initial Study** 

Page 5 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

			Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Significant	Impact
l.		AESTHETICS - Would the project		incorporated		
	a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				$\boxtimes$
	b)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				$\boxtimes$
	c)	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				
	d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				$\boxtimes$
		<b>SUBSTANTIATION</b> (Check  if project is located within in the General Plan):	the view-	shed of any	Scenic Rou	ute listed
İ	a)	The proposed project is not located within a designate substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista because no suc vicinity of the project site.				
Ì	b)	The proposed project will not substantially damage scenic trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a adjacent to a state scenic highway and there are no rock project site.	state so	enic highw	ay. The site	e is not
I	c)	The existing native desert vegetation includes scattered proposed project will not substantially degrade the existing				

id) The proposed project will not create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area because at this time the project proposal involves only subdividing land and without any additional development being proposed. Therefore, no impact is anticipated in this area.

Therefore, any potential impact in this area will be less than significant.

its surroundings. All building permits require a pre-construction inspection to verify the location of Joshua Trees. Any removal or transplanting of the protected Joshua Trees must comply with the County's ordinance regarding tree protection (County Development Code Section 88.01.060).

Initial Study

Page 6 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

$\boldsymbol{\sim}$	h۸
v	HU

II.	AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES - In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to	Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Significant	Impact
	the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment Project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Will the project:				
a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				$\boxtimes$
c)	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				×
d)	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				$\boxtimes$
e)	Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
	$\textbf{SUBSTANTIATION}  \text{(Check $ \square$ if project is located in the }$	Important	Farmlands (	Overlay):	
II a)	The subject property is not identified or designated as Farmland of Statewide Importance on the maps prepared Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency. T the site.	, pursuant	to the Farn	nland Map	ping and
II b)	The proposed use does not conflict with any agricultural lan contract, as none exists on the site because the site is no				

II c) The proposed project will not conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g)). The site is vacant and has never been designated as forest land or timberland.

there are no trees, rock outcroppings, or historic buildings on the project site.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 7 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

II d) The proposed project will not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to nonforest use because project site has never been designated as forest land or timberland.

Il e) The proposed project will not involve other changes in the existing environment, which due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use because the proposed project is consistent with the uses and activities envisioned for the site's designated zoning district.

APN: 3068-551-03 Initial Study Page 8 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
III.	AIR QUALITY - Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:		morporated		
a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				$\boxtimes$
b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				$\boxtimes$
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d)	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
e)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				
	SUBSTANTIATION (Discuss conformity with the Moja applicable):	ve Desert	Air Quality N	/Janageme	nt Plan, it
III a)	The project will not conflict with or obstruct implementary because the proposed uses do not exceed the threshold the CEQA Air Quality Handbook developed by the South used as a guide by the Mojave Desert Air Quality Managesignificant based on the handbook criteria and will not degradation of local region air quality.	s establish Coast Air ( gement Dis	ed for air qu Quality Mana strict. The tra	ality conce agement Di affic increas	rns within istrict and se will not
III b)	The project will not violate any air quality standard or projected air quality violation, because the proposed used concern as established by the Mojave Desert Air Quality	(s) will not e	exceed estab		

- III c) The project will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors), because future development will be required not exceed established thresholds of concern.
- III d) The project will not expose the existing or future sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, because there are no known or potential sources of concentrations of substantial pollutants within vicinity of the project site.
- III e) The project will not create odors affecting a substantial number of people because there are no identified potential uses that will result in the production of objectionable odors.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 9 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Imp <b>ac</b> l
IV.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:		incorporated		
а)	Have substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
e)	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				
f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan?				<u> </u>
	SUBSTANTIATION (Check if project is located in the Bi habitat for any species listed in the C				

IV a) This lot division project will not have substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service because no impact to the biological resources has been identified. A General Biological Assessment has been prepared by the RCA Associates and found that no sensitive species were observed during the April 3, 2012 surveys. No sensitive habitats such as blueline streams, wetlands, etc. are identified on the site. No definitive wildlife movement corridors were noted on the property or in adjacent areas. The study finds that the site supports a mixed desert scrub community dominated by California Juniper, Joshua Tree, Ephedra, Desert Sage, and Rabbit Brush. A Composite Development Plan Note (CDP Note) will be added to require the current and future developers to contact and coordinate with the CDFG to discuss specific transplanting requirements of Joshua trees prior to the start of any future site clearing activities. Relocation of these Joshua trees may require CDFG permits. CDFG and USFWS are the only agencies which can grant authorization of the "take" of any sensitive species. The CDP note will require that any transplanting of the identified Joshua trees to be performed by licensed arborists. The study does not recommend additional survey, nor requires mitigation measures. No additional improvements are proposed at this time.

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

- IV b) This project will not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service because the previously referenced biological assessment has not identified any such natural communities. Under section 1600 et. Sew of the Fish and Game Code, the CDFG requires the project applicant and current/future developer to notify the Department of any activity that will divert, obstruct or change the natural flow of the bed, channel or bank (which includes associated riparian habitat) or a river, stream or lake, or use material from a streambed prior to the applicant's commencement of land disturbance, grading, and or construction activities. The project will be conditioned not to alter any riparian habitat. The developer shall contact CDFG for additional consultation if the developer deems project activities capable of altering or adversely impacting any riparian habitat. Additional avoidance, minimization and or mitigation measures may be imposed by CDFG upon further review.
- IV c) This project will not have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means because this parcel is not within an identified protected wetland. A CDP Note will require current and future developers to consult with California Department of Fish & Game regarding a Streambed Alteration Agreement if alteration of a streambed is expected to occur.
- IV d) This project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites because this project shall be conditioned not to occupy any drainage courses traversing the site. A CDP Note will require current and future developers to consult with California Department of Fish & Game regarding a Streambed Alteration Agreement if alteration of a streambed occurs.
- IV e) A General Biological Assessment has been prepared by the RCA Associates that has identified Joshua Trees on site. A Composite Development Plan Note (CDP Note) will be added to require the current and future developers to contact and coordinate with the CDFG to discuss specific transplanting requirements of Joshua trees prior to the start of any future site clearing, land disturbing activities. Relocation of these Joshua trees may require CDFG permits. CDFG and USFWS are the only agencies which can grant authorization of the "take" of any sensitive species. The CDP note will require that any transplanting of the identified Joshua trees to be performed by licensed arborists. All future building permits require a pre-construction inspection to verify the location of Joshua Trees and that any removal must comply with the County's ordinance regarding tree protection (County Development Code Section 88.01.060), so no impact in this area of concern is anticipated.
- IV f) This project will not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan, because no such plan has been adopted in the area of the project site.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 11 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

<b>/</b> .		CULTURAL RESOUR	CES - Would the project	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
	a)	Cause a substantial a	dverse change in the significance of a lefined in §15064.5?				
	b)		dverse change in the significance of an e pursuant to §15064.5?				
	c)	Directly or indirectly de or site or unique geolog	stroy a unique paleontological resource gic feature?			$\boxtimes$	
	d)	Disturb any human re of formal cemeteries?	mains, including those interred outside				$\boxtimes$
		SUBSTANTIATION	(Check if the project is located in Resources overlays or cite results of				ogical 🗌

- V a) This project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource because the project site is not located on or near any known historical resource, as defined in §15064.5.
- Vb) This project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource because no resources have been identified on the site. To reduce the potential for impacts, a CDP note will require developers to halt all work if archaeological, paleontological and/or historical resources are uncovered during land disturbance, grading and or construction activities. A qualified expert (e.g. archaeologist or paleontologist), as determined by County Planning in consultation with the County Museum shall be hired to record any find and recommend any further mitigation.
- V c) Directly or indirectly, this project will not destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature because no such resource has been identified on the project site. To reduce the potential for impacts, a CDP note will require developers to halt all work if archaeological, paleontological and/or historical resources are uncovered during land disturbance, grading and or construction activities. A qualified expert (e.g. archaeologist or paleontologist), as determined by County Planning in consultation with the County Museum shall be hired to record any find and recommend any further mitigation.
- V d) This project will not disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries because no such burial grounds are identified in the project area. If any human remains are discovered during future construction activities, the developer is required to contact the County Coroner, County Museum for determination of appropriate measures, and a Native American representative, if the remains are determined to be of Native American origin. The CDP note will state: "If archaeological, paleontological and/or historical resources are uncovered during ground disturbing activities, all work in that area shall cease immediately until written clearance by County Planning is provided indicating that satisfactory mitigation has been implemented. A qualified expert (e.g. archaeologist or paleontologist), as determined by County Planning in and the County Museum shall be hired to record the find and recommend any further mitigation. The developer shall implement such mitigations to the satisfaction of County Planning. If possible human remains are encountered during any earthmoving activities, all work shall stop and the San Bernardino County Coroner must be notified. State law requires the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) to be notified in the event the remains are determined to be human and of Native American decent, in accordance with California Public Resources Code Section 5097.98."

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 12 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Imp <b>act</b>
VI.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:		Incorporated		
a)	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:  i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map Issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42				
	ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?			$\boxtimes$	
	iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				$\boxtimes$
	iv. Landslides?				$\boxtimes$
b)	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
c)	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on or off site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
d)	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the California Building Code (2001) creating substantial risks to life or property?				
e)	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?				
	SUBSTANTIATION (Check [ if project is located in the	Geologic	Hazards Ov	erlay Distr	ict):
VI a)	(i-iv) The project will not expose people or structures including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving; i) ruptu seismic ground shaking, iii) seismic-related ground failure because there are no such geologic hazards identified in the	ure of a kn e, includin	own earthqu	uake fault, on or iv) la	ii) strong ndslides,
VI b)	The project will not result in substantial soil erosion or to proposes no additional development at this time. At the to Building and Safety will require erosion control measures to	ime devel	opment occ	urs on-site	applicant , County
VI c)	The USGS does not identify the project site as being loo been identified as being unstable or having the potential to spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse.	cated on a cresult in	geologic ι on- or off- s	ınit or soil ite landslid	that has e, lateral
VI d)	The project site is not located on expansive soil, as de Building Code (2001) creating substantial risks to life or pro		Table 18-1-	B of the C	California

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

VI e) The County Environmental Health Services Department will require a percolation test prior to septic system installation. Therefore, there will be no significant impact.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 13 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

VII		GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS – Will the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
	a)	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				
	b)	Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				

### SUBSTANTION:

VII a) The project will not generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment. In September 2006 Governor Schwarzenegger signed the Global Warming Solutions Act (Assembly Bill 32), which was created to address the Global Warming situation in California. The Act requires that the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in California be reduced to 1990 levels by 2020. This is part of a larger plan in which California hopes to reduce its emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050. This reduction shall be accomplished through an enforceable statewide cap on GHG emissions that shall be phased in starting in 2012 and regulated by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). With this Act in place, CARB is in charge of setting specific standards for different source emissions, as well as monitoring whether they are being met.

In December 2008, SCAQMD adopted interim CEQA GHG significance thresholds of 10,000 metric tons of CO2e (MTCO2e) per year for stationary/industrial projects that include a tiered approach for assessing the significance of GHG emissions from a project (SCAQMD 2008). For the purposes of determining whether or not GHG emissions from a project are significant, SCAQMD recommends summing emissions from amortized construction emissions over the life of the proposed project, generally defined as 30 years, and operational emissions, and comparing the result with the established interim GHG significance threshold. While the individual project emissions will be less than 3,000 MTCO2e/yr, it is recognized that small increases in GHG emissions associated with construction and operation of the proposed project will contribute to regional increases in GHG emissions.

On January 5, 2012, the County of San Bernardino Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan (GHG Plan) became effective. The GHG Plan has a Development Review Processes section used to determine if a project requires mitigation measures to meet the overall goals of the plan. With the application of the GHG performance standards, projects that do not exceed 3,000 Metric Tons of Carbon Dioxide (MTCO2e) PER YEAR are consistent with the GHG Plan and determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions. As discussed in Section III of this document, the proposed project does not contribute to air emissions. Future development will result in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from construction equipment and construction workers personal vehicles traveling to and from the site. Construction-related GHG emissions vary depending on the level of activity, length of the construction period, specific construction operations, types of equipment, and number of personnel. The primary emissions that will result from future construction occur as carbon dioxide (CO2) from gasoline and diesel combustion, with more limited vehicle tailpipe emissions of nitrous oxide (N2O) and methane (CH4), as well as other GHG emissions related to vehicle cooling systems. Although construction emissions are a one-time event, GHG emissions such as  $CO_2$  can persist in the atmosphere for decades.

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

VII b) The proposed project with appropriate conditions of approval will not significantly conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted to reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases. On January 5, 2012, the County of San Bernardino Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan (GHG Plan) became effective. The GHG Plan has a Development Review Processes section used to determine if a project requires mitigation measures to meet the overall goals of the plan. With the application of the GHG performance standards, projects that do not exceed 3,000 Metric Tons of Carbon Dioxide (MTCO2e) per year are consistent with the GHG Plan and determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions. The project is not expected to exceed the 3,000 MTCO2e annual threshold established by the GHG Plan.

Initial Study

Page 15 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact
VIII.	<b>HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS -</b> Would the project:		Incorporated		
a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the Environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school				
d)	Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				$\boxtimes$
f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
g)	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				$\boxtimes$
h)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wild land fires, including where wild lands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wild lands?				

#### SUBSTANTIATION

- VIII a) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials; the County anticipates that no approved use on the site will be involved in such activities. If future homeowners propose such uses on-site in the future, they will be subject to permit and inspection by the Hazardous Materials Division of the County Fire Department and in some instances additional land use review.
- VIII b) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment. Any proposed use or construction activity that might use hazardous materials is subject to permit and inspection by the Hazardous Materials Division of the County Fire Department.
- VIII c) The project uses will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. The project does not propose the use of hazardous materials and all existing and proposed schools are

Initial Study

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

- more than one-quarter mile away from the project site. Serrano High School is located approximately 3.78 miles northwest of the project site, and Wrightwood Elementary School is approximately 3.97 miles west of the site.
- VIII d) The project site is not included on the San Bernardino County list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code 65962.5 and therefore, will not create a significant hazard to the public or environment.
- VIII e) The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a public airport. The nearest public airport is Hesperia Airport, which is located approximately 14.26 miles east of the project site.
- VIII f) The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a private airstrip. The nearest private airstrip is Brian Ranch Airport, which is located approximately 15.57 miles northwest of the project site.
- VIII g) The project will not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. The project has adequate access from two or more directions.
- VIII h) Prior to any construction occurring on any parcel, the applicant shall contact the County Fire Department for verification of current fire protection requirements. All new construction shall comply with the current Uniform Fire Code requirements and all applicable statutes, codes, ordinances, and standards of the Fire Department. The project site is in the Fire Safety Overlay (FS-2). The requirements of the overlay district are designed to reduce fire hazard risk to below a level of significance.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 17 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
XI.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:		,		
a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
b	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level, which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
e)	Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				$\boxtimes$
g)	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
h)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structure, which would impede or redirect flood flows?				$\boxtimes$
i)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				$\boxtimes$
	SURSTANTIATION				

IX a) The project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements because the on-site septic systems must be approved by the County Environmental Health Services based on requirements by the Lahontan Region of the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The project is required to provide a percolation report subject to EHS review and approval. All existing and proposed septic systems shall be required to be certified by a qualified professional ensure they function properly; therefore any impact in this area is less than significant. If wells are proposed, individual water wells will be subject to the County of San Bernardino Environmental Health Services' review and approved.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 18 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

IX b) The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge, such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level because a review of this project by County Environmental Health Services (EHS) has determined that the water provider shall be the Phelan Pinion Hills CSD, and if not available, the mechanism of providing water shall be EHS approved. If wells are proposed, individual water wells will be subject to the County of San Bernardino Environmental Health Services' review and approved.

- IX c) The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in erosion or siltation on- or off-site because the project does not propose any alteration to a drainage pattern, stream or river. Natural drainage course traversing the site shall not be occupied, obstructed, or disturbed without prior approval of the Land Development Engineering Division of the Public Works Department. Proof of consultation with California Department of Fish and Game regarding a Streambed Alteration Agreement is required if the drainage course of any streambed on this property is to be altered or encroached. Therefore, no significant impact in this area is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.
- IX d) The project will not substantially alter any existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site because the project does not propose any alteration to a drainage pattern, stream or river. Natural drainage course traversing the site shall not be occupied, obstructed, or disturbed without prior approval of the Land Development Engineering Division of the Public Works Department. Proof of consultation with California Department of Fish and Game regarding a Streambed Alteration Agreement is required if the drainage course of any streambed on this property is to be altered or encroached. Therefore, no significant impact in this area is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary. Surface runoff design shall be subject to review and approval by County Building and Safety Division as well as the County Public Works. Therefore any potential impact in this area is expected to be less than significant.
- IX e) The future development will not create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems because the drainage will be handled by the natural drainage courses on the property. And because, the County Public Works has reviewed the proposed project drainage and determined that the existing and proposed systems are adequate to handle anticipated flows. There will be adequate capacity in the local and regional drainage systems, so that any increases or changes in volume, velocity, or direction of storm water flows originating from or altered by the project do not negatively impact downstream properties.
- IX f) The project will not otherwise substantially degrade water quality, because appropriate measures relating to water quality protection, including erosion control measures will be required and implemented when the site is developed. No additional development is proposed at this time.
- IX g) The project will not place unprotected housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map because the project is not designated as being in a flood hazard area.
- IX h) The project will not place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows, because the site is not located within a 100-year flood hazard area.

Initial Study

Page 19 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285 Cho

- IX i) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding because of the failure of a levee or dam because the project site is not within any identified path of a potential inundation flow that might result in the event of a dam or levee failure or that might occur from a river, stream, lake, or sheet flow situation.
- IX j) The project will not be impacted by inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow because the project is not adjacent to any body of water that has the potential of seiche or tsunami nor is the project site in the path of any potential mudflow.

Initial Study

Page 20 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

Χ.		LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
	a)	Physically divide an established community?				$\boxtimes$
	b)	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				$\boxtimes$
	c)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				×
		CURCTANTIATION				

## **SUBSTANTIATION**

- X a) The project will not physically divide an established community, because the project is a logical and orderly extension of the planned land uses and development that are consistent with the site's designated zoning district, and are currently established within the surrounding area.
- X b) The project will not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect because the project is consistent with all applicable land use policies and regulations of the County Code and General Plan. The project complies with all hazard protection, resource preservation and land use modifying Overlay District regulations.
- X c) The project will not conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan because there is no such plans are identified within the area surrounding the project site and no habitat conservation lands are required to be purchased as mitigation for the proposed project.

Initial Study

Page 21 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

$\sim$	h-
U	HO

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
XI.	MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:				
a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
b)	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				
	$\textbf{SUBSTANTIATION}  \text{(Check $ \square$ if project is located within}$	the Minera	al Resource	Zone Ove	rlay):
XI a)	The project will not result in the loss of availability of a k value to the region and the residents of the state, because resources on the project site.				
XIb)	The project will not result in the loss of availability of a loc site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or of	cally impor her land u	tant minera se plan, be	i resource cause the	recovery re are no

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

identified locally important mineral resources on the project site.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 22 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact		
XII.	NOISE - Would the project:		Incorporated				
a)	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				$\boxtimes$		
b)	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?						
c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				$\boxtimes$		
d)	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?						
е)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?						
f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?						
	SUBSTANTIATION (Check if the project is located in to subject to severe noise levels according):	he Noise lording to the	Hazard Ove he General	rlay Distric Plan Noise	t		
XII a)	The project will not expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies, because this TPM proposal itself does not generate noise. Any future construction activities will be conditioned to comply with the County noise standards. The CDP note shall state: "Construction activities shall be limited to the hours between 7 AM and 7 PM each day. Construction equipment shall be staged away from surrounding residences where applicable".						
XII b)	The project will not create exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels, because all projects are required to comply with the vibration standards of the County Development Code and no vibration exceeding these standards is anticipated to be generated by this project.						
XII c)	The project will not generate a substantial permanent increvicinity above levels existing or allowed without the project with the vibration standards of the County Develops standards is anticipated to be generated.	ect, becar	use all proje	cts are re	quired to		

XII d) The project may generate a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing or allowed without the project due to construction activities. Any future construction activities will be conditioned to comply with the County noise standards. The CDP note shall state: "Construction activities shall be limited to the hours between 7 AM and 7 PM each day. Construction equipment shall be staged away from surrounding residences where applicable".

Initial Study

Page 23 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

- XII e) The project is not located within an airport land use plan area or within 2 miles of a public/public use airport.
- XII f) The project is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 24 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

result of this proposal.

Cho

KIII.	POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
a)	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				$\boxtimes$
c)	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
	SUBSTANTIATION				
XIII a)	The project will not have the potential for inducing substantial extent, and intensity of the project will only minimally contrib population. The proposed use is consistent with County General	oute to the	potential	growth of a	greater
XIII b)	The proposed use will not displace substantial numbers of econstruction of replacement housing, because no housing ur				

replacement housing elsewhere, because the project will not displace any existing housing or existing residents.

XIII c) The proposed use will not displace substantial numbers of people necessitating the construction of

Initial Study

Page 25 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
XIV.	PUBLIC SERVICES				
a)	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts				
	associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
	Fire Protection?			$\boxtimes$	
	Police Protection?			$\boxtimes$	
	Schools?			X	
	Parks?			$\boxtimes$	
	Other Public Facilities?				
	SUBSTANTIATION				

XIV a) The proposed project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services, including fire and police protection, schools, parks, or other public facilities. Future development on the proposed parcels should increase property tax revenues to provide a source of funding that is sufficient to offset any increases in the anticipated demands for public services generated by this project.

Initial Study

Page 26 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

XV.		RECREATION	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
	a)	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
	b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
		SUBSTANTIATION				

- XV a) This project will not increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated, because the impacts generated by the project is expected to be minimal.
- XV b) This project does not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment, because the project is expected to only minimally impact demand for recreational facilities.

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
XVI.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:		moorporated		
а)	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways, freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
b)	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the County congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
c)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
d)	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
e)	Result in inadequate emergency access?				$\boxtimes$
f)	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				×
	SUBSTANTIATION				
XVI a,b)	The future development of four parcels will not cause a sub- are currently operating at a level of service at or above the	e standar	d establish	ed by the	County

Initial Study

Page 27 of 33

- X General Plan. The property is located within the High Desert Local Area Transportation Facilities Fee Plan. Developers will be required to contribute to that plan before building permits are issued.
- XVI c) The project will not result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks. There are no airports near the project and there is no anticipated notable impact on air traffic volumes by passengers or freight generated by the future uses.
- XVI d) The project will not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or incompatible uses because the project site is adjacent to an established road that is accessed at points with good site distance and properly controlled intersections. There are no incompatible uses proposed by the project that will impact surrounding land uses.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 28 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

XVI e) The project will not result in inadequate emergency access because resultant parcels will have adequate access.

XVI f) The project will not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities because this minor development proposal is expected not to substantially impact any such plans or programs. This project will have no impact on alternative methods of transportation.

Initial Study

Page 29 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

		Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Significant	Impact
XVII.	UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:		incorporated		
a)	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?			= =	$\boxtimes$
b)	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
c)	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
d)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new, or expanded, entitlements needed?			$\boxtimes$	
e)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
f)	Be served by a landfill(s) with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				
g)	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				
	SUBSTANTIATION				

- XVII a) The proposed project does not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region, as determined by County Public Health Environmental Health Services. All existing and proposed septic systems shall be required to be certified by a qualified professional ensure they function properly; therefore any impact in this area is less than significant.
- XVII b) The proposed project will not require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects because a review of this project by County Environmental Health Services (EHS) has determined that the water provider shall be the Phelan Pinion Hills CSD, and if not available, the mechanism of providing water shall be EHS approved. If wells are proposed, individual water wells will be subject to the County of San Bernardino Environmental Health Services' review and approved.
- XVII c) The proposed project will not require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities that cause significant environmental effects because County Public Works has determined that there is sufficient capacity in the existing storm water systems to absorb any additional storm water drainage caused by the project. All future construction must meet the requirements from the County Public Works' Land Development Division; therefore any impact in this area will be less than significant.
- XVII d) The proposed project will have sufficient water supplies available to serve because because review of this project by County Environmental Health Services (EHS) has determined that the water provider shall be the Phelan Pinion Hills CSD, and if not available, the mechanism of providing water

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 30 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcei Map 19285

Cho

shall be EHS approved. Future development of the proposed parcels will not create a significant demand on water supplies. If wells are proposed, individual water wells will be subject to the County of San Bernardino Environmental Health Services' review and approved. Therefore any impact will be less than significant in this area.

- XVII e) There is no wastewater treatment provider serving the project area, therefore on-site septic systems will serve the project subject to review and approval by the County EHS based on requirements by the Lahontan Region of the Regional Water Quality Control Board.; therefore any impact will be less than significant in this area.
- XVII f) The proposed project is served by a certified local landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs; therefore any impact will be less than significant in this area.
- XVII g) The proposed project is required to comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste, therefore any impact will be less than significant in this area.

APN: 3068-551-03 Initial Study

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

**SUBSTANTIATION** 

Cho

XVIII.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
a)	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)				
c)	Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				

Page 31 of 33

XVIII a) The project does not appear to have the potential to significantly degrade the overall quality of the region's environment, or substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. division project will not have substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service because no impact to the biological resources has been identified. A General Biological Assessment has been prepared by the RCA Associates and found that no sensitive species were observed during the April 3, 2012 surveys. No sensitive habitats such as blueline streams, wetlands, etc. are identified on the site. No definitive wildlife movement corridors were noted on the property or in adjacent areas. The study finds that the site supports a mixed desert scrub community dominated by California Juniper, Joshua Tree, Ephedra, Desert Sage, and Rabbit Brush. A Composite Development Plan Note (CDP Note) will be added to require the current and future developers to contact and coordinate with the CDFG to discuss specific transplanting requirements of Joshua trees prior to the start of any future site clearing activities. Relocation of these Joshua trees may require CDFG permits. CDFG and USFWS are the only agencies which can grant authorization of the "take" of any sensitive species. The CDP note will require that any transplanting of the identified Joshua trees to be performed by licensed arborists. The study does not recommend additional survey, nor requires mitigation measures. No additional improvements are proposed at this time.

This project will not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service because the previously referenced biological assessment has not identified any such natural communities. Under section 1600 et. Seg of the Fish and Game Code, the CDFG requires the project applicant and current/future developer to notify the Department of any activity that will divert, obstruct or change the natural flow of the bed. channel or bank (which includes associated riparian habitat) or a river, stream or lake, or use

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

material from a streambed prior to the applicant's commencement of land disturbance, grading, and or construction activities. The project will be conditioned not to alter any riparian habitat. The developer shall contact CDFG for additional consultation if the developer deems project activities capable of altering or adversely impacting any riparian habitat. Additional avoidance, minimization and or mitigation measures may be imposed by CDFG upon further review.

This project will not have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means because this parcel is not within an identified protected wetland. A CDP Note will require current and future developers to consult with California Department of Fish & Game regarding a Streambed Alteration Agreement if alteration of a streambed is expected to occur. This project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites because this project shall be conditioned not to occupy any drainage courses traversing the site. A CDP Note will require current and future developers to consult with California Department of Fish & Game regarding a Streambed Alteration Agreement if alteration of a streambed occurs.

A General Biological Assessment has been prepared by the RCA Associates that has identified Joshua Trees on site. A Composite Development Plan Note (CDP Note) will be added to require the current and future developers to contact and coordinate with the CDFG to discuss specific transplanting requirements of Joshua trees prior to the start of any future site clearing, land disturbing activities. Relocation of these Joshua trees may require CDFG permits. CDFG and USFWS are the only agencies which can grant authorization of the "take" of any sensitive species. The CDP note will require that any transplanting of the identified Joshua trees to be performed by licensed arborists. All future building permits require a pre-construction inspection to verify the location of Joshua Trees and that any removal must comply with the County's ordinance regarding tree protection (County Development Code Section 88.01.060), so no impact in this area of concern is anticipated.

- XVIII b) The project does not have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable. The sites of projects in the area to which this project would add cumulative impacts have either existing or planned infrastructure that is sufficient for all planned uses. These sites either are developed or are capable of absorbing such uses without generating any cumulatively significant impacts.
- XVIII c) The project will not have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly because there are no such impacts identified by the studies conducted for this project or identified by review of other sources or by other agencies.

Only minor biological impacts will occur through implementation of the proposed project. These potential impacts have been thoroughly evaluated and have been deemed to be neither individually significant nor cumulatively considerable in terms of any adverse effects upon the region, the local community, or its inhabitants. At a minimum, the project will be required to meet the conditions of approval for the project to be implemented. It is anticipated that all such conditions of approval will further ensure that no potential for adverse impacts will be introduced by construction activities, or land uses authorized by the project approval.

A CDP Note will require future builders to consult with California Department of Fish & Game regarding a Streambed Alteration Agreement if alteration of a streambed occurs. Another CDP Note will require future builders to implement procedures in the event that potentially sensitive cultural resources are uncovered during earthmoving and/or construction.

**APN: 3068-551-03** Initial Study Page 33 of 33

P201000472; Tentative Parcel Map 19285

Cho

#### **XIX. MITIGATION MEASURES**

(Any mitigation measures, which are not 'self-monitoring', shall have a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program prepared and adopted at time of project approval)

<u>SELF MONITORING MITIGATION MEASURES</u>: (Condition compliance will be verified by existing procedure)

#### GENERAL REFERENCES

- Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone Act Map Series (PRC 27500)
- California Department of Water Resources, <u>California's Ground Water Bulletin #118 Update</u>, 2003.
- CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G
- California Standard Specifications, July 1992
- County Museum Archaeological Information Center
- County of San Bernardino Development Code, 2007, Revised 2010
- County of San Bernardino General Plan, 2007, Revised 2010
- County of San Bernardino Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan, January 6, 2012.
- County of San Bernardino Hazard Overlay Map: FH12
- County of San Bernardino Identified Hazardous Materials Waste Sites List, April 1998
- County of San Bernardino, Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, March 1995
- County of San Bernardino, June 2004, San Bernardino County Storm Water Program, Model Water Quality Management Plan Guidance
- County of San Bernardino Road Planning and Design Standards
- Environmental Impact Report, San Bernardino County General Plan, 2007
- Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Map and Flood Boundary Map
- Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, <u>Mojave Desert Planning Area Federal Particulate Matter</u> (PM10) Attainment Plan, July 1995
- Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, 2004 Ozone Attainment Plan, June 2007
- Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, <u>California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Federal Conformity Guidelines</u>, June 2007
- Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, <u>Federal 8-Hour Ozone Attainment Plan (Western Mojave Desert Non-attainment Area)</u>, June 2008

# PROJECT SPECIFIC REFERENCES

 General Biological Assessment for Tentative Parcel Map No. 19285; RCA Associates LLC; April 24, 2012