# **NorCal Engineering**

Soils and Geotechnical Consultants 10641 Humbolt Street Los Alamitos, CA 90720 (562) 799-9469 Fax (562) 799-9459

October 4, 2017

Project Number 19903-17

Alere Property Group, LLC 100 Bayview Circle, Suite 310 Newport Beach, California 92660

Attn: Clark Neuhoff

RE:

**Soil Infiltration Study -** Proposed Warehouse Building Development - Located on Cajon Boulevard, Northerly of Shelter Way, County of San Bernardino, California

Dear Mr. Neuhoff:

Pursuant to your request, this firm has performed a Soil Infiltration Study for the above referenced project. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the feasibility of on-site drainage disposal systems on the subject site. The scope of current work included the following: 1) site reconnaissance; 2) subsurface geotechnical exploration; 3) double ring infiltration testing at two locations; 4) engineering analysis of field test data; and 5) preparation of this report.

### Proposed Development

It is currently proposed to construct a new 408,480 square feet concrete tilt-up building on the 20-acre parcel. Asphaltic and concrete pavement areas and landscaping will also be installed. Grading for the development is expected to include cut and fill procedures. It is also proposed to install detention/infiltration basin on the property to capture and infiltrate storm water runoff. Depth of system is assumed to be within the upper 10 feet below existing grades.

### Site Description

The irregular shaped property is located easterly of Cajon Boulevard, northerly of Shelter Way, in the unincorporated area of the County of San Bernardino, as shown on the Vicinity Map, Figure 1. A railroad borders along the property line opposite of Cajon Boulevard. An overhead electrical easement extends across the site as well.

The property is currently vacant and covered in most areas with a low vegetation growth and some asphaltic pavement. Some vehicles and stored materials were located on the northerly portion of the site.

The site topography is generally flat and drainage appears to sheet flow southerly and westerly into Cajon Boulevard.

### Field Exploration

The excavations were completed on September 18, 2017 and testing was completed on that day. The testing consisted of using the double ring infiltrometer at three locations to determine the infiltration rate of the proposed retention/infiltration system. The locations of the tests are shown on the attached Figure 2. The test locations were excavated by backhoe to depths ranging from 5 to 10 feet below existing ground surface (bgs). Excavations were trimmed at 1:1 (horizontal to vertical) inclinations in order to provide safe entry into the excavations. No significant caving occurred to the depths of these test excavations. Detailed descriptions of the subsurface soils are given in the attached test excavations logs in Appendix B. The excavations were backfilled at the conclusion of testing with the soil cuttings and tamped, but were <u>not</u> compacted to 90% relative compaction.

In general, the test areas were found to be underlain by surficial fill soils overlying native soils. The soils at test locations consisted of slightly silty SANDS with some gravel and small cobbles. These soils were noted to be medium dense and dry to damp.

### Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered in any of our current test excavations and soil boring placed as a part of our <u>Geotechnical Investigation</u> (NorCal report dated October 3, 2017) which extended to a maximum depth of 51.5 feet. Historic high groundwater in the vicinity has been recorded greater than 100 feet below grade at wells in the vicinity, based upon information from the California Department of Water Resources database <a href="http://www.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary/">http://www.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary/</a>.

### Infiltration Test Procedure

The infiltration test consisted of the double ring infiltration test per ASTM Method D 3385. The double ring infiltrometer method consists of driving two open cylinders, one inside the other, into the ground, partially filling the ring with water, and then maintaining the liquid at a constant level. The volume of liquid added to the inner ring, to maintain the liquid level constant is the measure of the volume of liquid that infiltrates into the soil.

The volume infiltrated during timed intervals is converted to an incremental infiltration velocity, usually expressed in centimeters per hour or inches per hour and plotted verses elapsed time. The maximum-steady state or average incremental infiltration velocity, depending on the purpose/application of the test is equivalent to the infiltration rate.

Water levels were maintained at a constant level in both the inner ring and annular space between rings throughout the test, to prevent flow of water from one ring to the other.

The volume of liquid used during each measured time interval was converted into an incremental infiltration velocity of both the inner ring in the annular space using the following equations:

For the inner ring calculated as follows:

 $Vir=\Delta Vir/(Air\Delta t)$ 

where:

Vir = inner ring incremental infiltration velocity, cm/hr

 $\Delta Vir$  = volume of water used during time interval to maintain constant head in the inner ring, cm<sup>3</sup>

Air = internal area of the inner ting, cm<sup>2</sup>

 $\Delta t = time interval, hr$ 

The last reading obtained was used for design purposes in each of the basin. The testing data sheets are attached in Appendix B and summarized in the Discussion of Results section below.

## Discussion of Results

The use of on-site disposal system by means of retention/infiltration basins appears to be geotechnically feasible for future development. The field infiltration rates given below may be utilized in the basin design with a safety factor of 2.0 or greater.

Test No.	Depth (feet bgs)	Soil Type	Infiltration (cm/hr)	n Rate (in/hr)
T-1	5.0	slightly silty SAND	217	87
T-2	10.0	slightly silty SAND	243	97
T-3	7.5	slightly silty SAND	315	126

It is our opinion that the site is suitable for stormwater infiltration without increasing the potential of settlement of proposed and existing structures or adversely affecting retaining/basement walls located either on or adjacent to the subject site. In addition, the potential for hydro-consolidation and the susceptibility for any ground settlements are considered low. All systems shall meet the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB) requirements.

#### Closure

The recommendations and conclusions contained in this report are based upon the soil conditions uncovered in our test excavations. No warranty of the soil condition between our excavations is implied. NorCal Engineering should be notified for possible further recommendations if unexpected to unfavorable conditions are encountered during construction phase. This firm should have the opportunity to review the final plans to verify that all our recommendations are incorporated.

This report and all conclusions are subject to the review of the controlling authorities for the project. Our representative should be present during the grading operations and construction phase to certify that such recommendations are complied within the field.

This infiltration study has been conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill exercised by members of our profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the Southern California area. All work was performed under the supervision of the Geotechnical Engineer. No other warranty, expressed or implied is made.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted NORCAL ENGINEERIN

Keith D. Tucker Project Engineer

R.G.E. 841

Mark A. Burkholder Project Manager

# <u>List of Appendices</u> (in order of appearance)

### Appendix A

Vicinity Map and Test Location Exhibits – Figures 1 and 2


## Appendix B

Logs of Test Excavations T-1 to T-3

Field Test Data

Calculations


# Appendix A



NorCal Engineering SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

VICINITY MAP

PROJECT 19903-17 DATE 10/2017







# NorCal Engineering soils and geotechnical consultants

PROJECT 19903-17 | DATE 10/2017

APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS OF SOIL BORINGS

FIG. 2

# Appendix B

MA	JOR DIVISION		GRAPHIC SYMBOI	LETTER SYMBOI	TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS
	GRAVEL	CLEAN GRAVELS	000	GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL. SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
COARSE	AND GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
GRAINED SOILS	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND- SILT MIXTURES
	FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)	1/1,	GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND- CLAY MIXTURES
	SAND	CLEAN SAND		sw	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS <u>LARGER</u> THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	AND SANDY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVEL- LY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE	SANDS WITH		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND-SILT MIXTURES
SIZE	FRACTION PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		sc	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-CLAY MIXTURES
				ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
FINE GRAINED	SILTS AND	EIQUID LIMIT I FSS THAN 50		CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
SOILS	CLAYS			OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
LODE TUAN				МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER	SILTS AND	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN		СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS
THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	CLAYS	50		ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
ŀ	HIGHLY ORGANIC	SOILS		PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

# UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

## KEY:

- Indicates 2.5-inch Inside Diameter. Ring Sample.
- Indicates 2-inch OD Split Spoon Sample (SPT).
- Indicates Shelby Tube Sample.
- Indicates No Recovery.
- Indicates SPT with 140# Hammer 30 in. Drop.
- Indicates Bulk Sample.
- Indicates Small Bag Sample.
- Indicates Non-Standard
- Indicates Core Run.

## **COMPONENT PROPORTIONS**

DESCRIPTIVE TERMS	RANGE OF PROPORTION
Trace	1 - 5%
Few	5 - 10%
Little	10 - 20%
Some	20 - 35%
And	35 - 50%

## **COMPONENT DEFINITIONS**

COMPONENT	SIZE RANGE
Boulders Cobbles Gravel Coarse gravel Fine gravel Sand Coarse sand Medium sand Fine sand Silt and Clay	Larger than 12 in 3 in to 12 in 3 in to No 4 (4.5mm) 3 in to 3/4 in 3/4 in to No 4 (4.5mm) No. 4 (4.5mm) to No. 200 (0.074mm) No. 4 (4.5mm) to No. 10 (2.0 mm) No. 10 (2.0 mm) to No. 40 (0.42 mm) No. 40 (0.42 mm) to No. 200 (0.074 mm) Smaller than No. 200 (0.074 mm)

# MOISTURE CONTENT

-		
	DRY	Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch.
	DAMP	Some perceptible moisture; below optimum
	MOIST	No visible water; near optimum moisture content
	WET	Visible free water, usually soil is below water table.

# RELATIVE DENSITY OR CONSISTENCY VERSUS SPT N -VALUE

COHESIO	ONLESS SOILS	COHESIVE SOILS					
Density	N ( blows/ft )	Consistency	N (blows/ft )	Approximate Undrained Shea Strength (psf)			
Very Loose Loose Medium Dense Dense Very Dense	0 to 4 4 to 10 10 to 30 30 to 50 over 50	Very Soft Soft Medium Sliff Stiff Very Stiff Hard	0 to 2 2 to 4 4 to 8 8 to 15 15 to 30 over 30	< 250 250 - 500 500 - 1000 1000 - 2000 2000 - 4000 > 4000			

	Alere Property Group 19903-17	LLC	Log	of Tre	nch T	-1		
Borii	ng Location: Cajon Boulevard, County of Sa	n Bernardin						
Date	of Drilling: 9/18/17	Groundwater Depth: No	ne Encountered					
Drilli	ing Method: Drill Rig	T						
Ham	mer Weight: 140 lbs	Drop: 30"						
	ace Elevation: Not Measured			Sam	ples	Lab	orato	ry
Depth (feet)								es nt %
<u> </u>				Type	Blow	Moisture	Dry Density	Fines Content %
- 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35	FILL SOILS Silty SAND with rootlets, grav Brown, loose, dry NATURAL SOILS Slightly silty (fine to coarse g cobbles Light brown, medium dense, Trench completed at depth of	rained) SAND with gravel, o						
- 35	NorCal Eng	ineering				3	•	

		Alere Property Group L 19903-17	LC	Lo	g of Tre	nch T	-2		
Borii	ng Locati	ion: Cajon Boulevard, County of Sa	n Bernardin						
		ng: 9/18/17	Groundwater Depth: No	ne Encountered					
Drilli	ng Metho	od: Drill Rig							
Ham	mer Wei	ght: 140 lbs	Drop: 30"						
		ation: Not Measured			Sam	ples	Lat	orato	orv
Depth (feet)		Material Description			Type	Blow	Moisture	Dry Density	Fines Content %
-0 -10 -15 -20 -25 -35		FILL SOILS Silty SAND with rootlets, grav Brown, loose, dry NATURAL SOILS Slightly silty (fine to coarse gr Light brown, medium dense, of	ained) SAND with gravel, o	ccasional cobbles			M	Q	3
		NorCal Engi	neering				4		

		Alere Property Group I	LLC	Lo	g of Tre	nch T	-3		
Boris	ng Locatio	on: Cajon Boulevard, County of Sa	n Bernardin						
	of Drilling		Groundwater Depth: No	ne Encountered					
Drilli	ing Metho	d: Drill Rig							
Ham	mer Weig	ht: 140 lbs	Drop: 30"						
		ion: Not Measured			San	ples	Lal	orato	orv
Depth (feet)		Material Description			Type	Blow	Moisture	Dry Density	Fines Content %
SuperLog CivilTech Software, USA www.civiltech.com File: C:Superlog direction of the C		FILL SOILS Silty SAND with occasional g Brown, medium dense, dry NATURAL SOILS Slightly silty (fine to coarse gi cobbles Light brown, medium dense,  Trench completed at depth o	rained) SAND with gravel, o				WC .	ď	S
_ 33	*	NorCal Engi	ineering				5	•	



## SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

Project: Alere Property Group

**Project No:** 19903-17 **Date:** 9/18/17

 Test No.
 T-1

 Depth:
 5'

 Tested By:
 J.S.

	TIME (hr/min)	CHANGE TIME (min)	CUMULATIVE TIME (min)	INNER RING READING (cm)	INNER RING CHANGE	INNER RING FLOW (cc)	OUTER RING READING (cm)	OUTER RING CHANGE (cm)	OUTER RING FLOW (cc)	INNER RING INF RATE (cm/hr)	OUTER RING INF RATE (cm/hr)	INNER RING INF RATE (ft/hr)
1	8:00			102.0			44.9					
	8:01	1	1	106.4	4.4		50.8	5.9				
2	8:01			98.4			43.4					
	8:02	1	2	103.0	4.6		48.5	5.1				
3	8:02			98.7			42.9					
	8:03	1	3	102.2	3.5		47.7	4.8				
4	8:03			98.6			43.2					
	8:04	1	4	102.2	3.6		47.9	4.7				
5	8:04			98.9			43.8					
	8:05	1	5	102.5	3.6		48.4	4.6				
6	8:05			100.9			44.3					
	8:06	1	6	104.4	3.5		48.9	4.6		210	276	
7	8:06			98.9			42.9					
	8:07	1	7	102.3	3.4		47.8	4.9		204	294	
8	8:07			98.7			43.8					
	8:08	1	8	102.7	4.0		48.5	4.7		240	282	
9	8:08			98.8			43.6					
	8:09	1	9	102.2	3.4		48.0	4.4		204	264	
10	8:09			98.9			43.5					
	8:10	1	10	102.4	3.5		47.8	4.3		210	258	
11	8:10			99.2			42.8					
	8:11	1	11	103.0	3.8		47.2	4.4		228	264	
12	8:11			100.1			43.3					
	8:12	1	12	103.8	3.7		47.7	4.4		222	264	

Average = 217 / 272



### SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

**Project:** Alere Property Group

**Project No:** 19903-17 **Date:** 9/18/17

 Test No.
 T-2

 Depth:
 10'

 Tested By:
 J.S.

	TIME (hr/min)	CHANGE TIME (min)	CUMULATIVE TIME (min)	INNER RING READING (cm)	INNER RING CHANGE	INNER RING FLOW (cc)	OUTER RING READING (cm)	OUTER RING CHANGE (cm)	OUTER RING FLOW (cc)	INNER RING INF RATE (cm/hr)	OUTER RING INF RATE (cm/hr)	INNER RING INF RATE (ft/hr)
1	9:10			101.4			45.2					
	9:11	1	1	106.4	5.0		50.0	4.8				
2	9:11			98.5			42.0					
	9:12	1	2	104.5	6.0		48.0	6.0				
3	9:12			100.5			43.5					
	9:14	2	4	110.5	10.0		52.5	9.0				
4	9:14			99.5			43.0					
	9:16	2	6	108.5	9.0		52.0	9.0				
5	9:16			99.5			43.0					
	9:18	2	8	108.0	8.5		51.6	8.6				
6	9:18			102.8			46.4					
	9:20	2	10	111.1	8.3		53.6	7.2		249	216	
7	9:20			99.5			43.1					
	9:22	2	12	108.0	8.5		51.5	8.4		255	252	
8	9:22			98.3			41.0					
	9:24	2	14	106.8	8.5		40.5	8.5		255	255	
9	9:24			98.5			41.1					
	9:26	2	16	107.0	8.5		49.5	8.4		255	252	
10	9:26			98.5			41.2					
	9:28	2	18	106.8	8.3		49.5	8.3		249	249	
11	9:28			101.0			43.7					
	9:30	2	20	108.5	7.5		51.2	7.5		225	225	
12	9:30			102.0			45.4					
	9:32	2	22	109.2	7.2		52.8	7.4		216	222	

Average = 243 / 239



## SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

**Project:** Alere Property Group

**Project No:** 19903-17

**Date:** 9/18/17

**Test No.** T-3 **Depth:** 7.5'

**Tested By:** J.S.

	TIME (hr/mln)	CHANGE TIME (min)	CUMULATIVE TIME (min)	INNER RING READING (cm)	INNER RING CHANGE	INNER RING FLOW (cc)	OUTER RING READING (cm)	OUTER RING CHANGE (cm)	OUTER RING FLOW (cc)	INNER RING INF RATE (cm/hr)	OUTER RING INF RATE (cm/hr)	INNER RING INF RATE (ft/hr)
1	10:15			102.5			48.0					
	10:16	1	1	105.0	2.5		52.0	4.0				
2	10:16			100.0			44.0					
	10:17	1	2	106.2	6.2		53.0	9.0				
3	10:17			98.5			44.5					
	10:18	1	3	105.2	6.7		51.7	7.2				
4	10:18			98.0			43.5					
	10:19	1	4	104.0	6.0		51.5	8.0				
5	10:19			98.3			42.0					
	10:20	1	5	104.0	5.7		51.4	9.4				
6	10:20			98.5			43.4					
	10:21	1	6	104.0	5,5		50.9	7.5		330	450	
7	10:21			98.0			44.3					
	10:22	1	7	103.9	5.9		51.2	6.9		354	414	
8	10:22			98.5			43.3					
	10:23	1	8	103.7	5.2		51.4	8.1		312	486	
9	10:23			98.3			44.0					
	10:24	1	9	103.4	5.1		51.2	7.2		306	432	
10	10:24			98.6			43.8					
	10:25	1	10	103.2	4.7		51.0	7.2		282	432	
11	10:25			98.0			44.0					
	10:26	1	11	103.3	5.3		51.4	7.4		318	444	
12	10:26			98.6			43.6					
	10:27	1	12	103.6	5.0		51.5	7.9		300	474	
									Average =	315	/ 447	