

APPENDIX B

AIR QUALITY AND GREENHOUSE GAS ANALYSIS REPORT

[This page intentionally left blank]



California Steel Industries, Inc.

**Number 3 Continuous Galvanizing Line
and Push Pull Pickle Line**

SCAQMD Facility ID 46268

Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Analysis

Prepared by:

Ashworth Leininger Group
601 East Daily Drive, Suite 302
Camarillo, California 93010
(805) 764-6010

In coordination with

Environmental Audit, Inc.
1000-A Ortega Way
Placentia, CA 92870
(714) 632-8521

Prepared March 2024

| Section | Page |
|---|-------------|
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| 2.0 AIR QUALITY | 4 |
| 2.1 Emissions Calculation Methodology | 4 |
| 2.1.1 Pre-Project Emissions | 4 |
| 2.1.2 Post-Project Emissions | 4 |
| 2.2 Summary of Emission Changes..... | 7 |
| 2.2.1 Comparing Project Unmitigated and Mitigated Emissions | 8 |
| 3.0 CONCLUSION | 10 |

| List of Tables | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Table 1 Summary of Emissions (lbs/day)..... | 8 |
| Table 2 Summary of Emissions (tons/year)..... | 8 |
| Table 3 Summary of GHG Emissions – County of San Bernardino Methodology | 9 |
| Table 4 Summary of GHG Emissions – SCAQMD Methodology | 10 |

| List of Attachments | Page |
|--|-------------|
| ATTACHMENT A. EMISSION SUMMARIES | 11 |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Ashworth Leininger Group (ALG) was contracted by California Steel Industries, Inc. (CSI), to perform emissions calculations and perform an air quality analysis of the impacts of stationary sources associated with the new galvanizing line (#3 CGL) and push pull pickle line (PPPL). ALG's analysis has been prepared to support the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) analysis for the project. This report is prepared based on design and emissions information provided by CSI.

The existing facility receives, by rail, semi-finished steel slabs, which are then processed sheet steel and further processed into either pipe or steel coil products. Two furnaces, Furnace No. 4 and Furnace No. 5 heat the steel slabs, which are then rolled into strips and rolled into coils. The coils may be sold directly to customers as hot rolled product or processed further on-site. Coils which are processed further are treated in a pickling line to remove surface scale and then cold rolled to final thickness. Cold rolled steel can either be sold directly to customers or further treated in one of two galvanizing lines, which add a galvanized layer to the surface of the steel to protect it from corrosion and extend its useful life.

The #3 CGL is designed similarly to the #1 and #2 CGLs. It will consist of the following equipment:

- an entry section consisting of two coil payoff reels feeding an electric lap seam welder,
- a cleaning section consisting of physical cleaning with brush scrubbers followed by a series of up to six 3,500- to 6,500-gallon tanks and rinse baths that utilize caustic cleaner to remove any impurities from the surface of the steel strip. This section will be connected to a fume exhaust system followed by a wet packed-bed scrubber,
- new combustion units including two heaters with a combined heat input of approximately 83 million British thermal units per hour (mmBtu/hr) and emissions control equipment to reduce carbon monoxide (CO) emissions using a 6 mmBtu/hr thermal afterburner and nitrogen oxide emissions using an SCR with a new 10,500-gallon aqueous ammonia storage tank,
- an induction heated refractory-lined coating pot that will hold approximately 225 metric tons of molten zinc (99% zinc and 1% aluminum) that the steel strip passes through,
- a chemical treating section consisting of two roll transfer coaters for applying different types of oxidation preventative or preparation coatings. One coater for trivalent chrome or hexavalent chrome application, equipped with a negative-pressure hood and vented to a high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter, and one water-based acrylic coating applicator to the strip that will be connected to a thermal oxidizer to eliminate Volatile Organic Compounds. This option is used if the coated coil will be painted by the customer on a process line or for some roof decking products, and
- an exit reel to coil the finished product.

A new 900 brake horsepower emergency generator will be installed adjacent to the south side of the #3 CGL building.

The PPPL component of the Project would install the following equipment:

- five 25,000-gallon storage tanks for fresh and spent solutions,
- fifteen 750- to 7,000-gallon process tanks,
- a 12,000-gallon rinse tank,
- a packed-bed scrubber to control acid emissions; and
- an exit reel to coil the finished product

2.0 AIR QUALITY

This section discusses the methodologies used to conduct the evaluation of air quality impacts for the project and technical methods employed in the evaluation. Emissions calculations are provided in Attachment A to this report; calculation strategies are summarized below. The project consists solely of constructing new equipment. No existing emission units at the site will be modified.

2.1 Emissions Calculation Methodology

2.1.1 Pre-Project Emissions

Because all equipment associated with the project is new, pre-Project emissions are equal to zero for all equipment associated with this project.

2.1.2 Post-Project Emissions

Criteria pollutant emission factors for all equipment are based on SCAQMD rule limits and default factors. This results in a conservative estimate because actual permit emission limits will be based on Best Available Control Technology (BACT) emissions levels, which will be determined at the time of permit issuance and must be as strict or stricter than the rule limits or default factors.

CGL Heaters

Two new heaters will be installed for the #3 CGL as part of this project. The heaters will exhaust to an afterburner to control carbon monoxide (CO) emissions and then to a selective catalytic reduction (SCR) system to control oxides of nitrogen (NOx) emissions. These heaters are assumed to operate 24 hours per day. Emission factors are based on SCAQMD natural gas combustion emission

factors¹ and compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1147.2². Emissions are calculated by multiplying the maximum fired duty by the appropriate emissions factor. Toxic pollutant emission factors are based on SCAQMD factors for natural gas combustion³. Greenhouse gas emission factors are based on 40 CFR Part 89⁴ Tables C-1 and C-2 for natural gas. Detailed emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-3. Toxics emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-8.

Emergency Standby Engine

One new diesel-fired emergency standby engine will be installed. The engine will be certified to meet U.S. EPA Tier 4 emission standards for diesel-fired engines. The engine will operate for up to 2 hours per day and 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes. If the facility loses electrical power, the engine may be used to provide standby electrical power. Total hours of operation for all purposes are assumed to be 200 hours per year. Emissions are calculated by multiplying the maximum horsepower rating by the appropriate emissions factor. Criteria pollutant emission factors are based on Tier 4 emission factors⁵. Toxic pollutant emission factors are based on the diesel PM emission rate. Greenhouse gas emission factors are based on 40 CFR Part 89 Tables C-1 and C-2 for diesel fuel combustion. Detailed emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-6. Toxics emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-8.

Cleaning Section

One new cleaning section will be installed. The cleaning section will exhaust through a wet packed-bed scrubber to control emissions. The cleaning section is assumed to operate 24 hours per day. Emissions are calculated by multiplying the flow rate out of the scrubber by the controlled exhaust concentration. Toxic pollutant emission factors are based on current permit limits on toxics content of materials processed at the facility. The cleaning section is not a source of greenhouse gas emissions. Detailed emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-4.

¹ External Combustion Equipment - Natural Gas(mmscf)/Other Equipment

<https://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/planning/annual-emission-reporting/default-combustion-emission-factors.pdf?sfvrsn=12>

² South Coast Air Quality Management District, 2022. Rule 1147.2, April 1, 2022, Table 3, pg. 5, <https://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/reg-xi/rule-1147-2.pdf?sfvrsn=8>.

³ AB 2588 Quadrennial Air Toxic Emissions Inventory Reporting Procedures – AER Program, Appendix B, Table B-1: Default EF for Natural Gas Combustion, External Combustion Equipment (Boiler, Oven, Dryer, Furnace, Heater, Afterburner), https://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/planning/risk-assessment/quadrennial_atir_procedure.pdf

⁴ Tables C-1 and C-2, <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40/chapter-I/subchapter-C/part-98/subpart-C>

⁵ EPA Nonroad Compression-Ignition Engines: Exhaust Emissions Standards, 560≤kW<900, 2015+, generator set standards, <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi?Dockkey=P100OA05.pdf>

Chem Treat

One new chem treat operation will be installed. The cleaning section will exhaust through a HEPA filter to control particulate matter and toxic emissions. The chem treat operation is assumed to operate 24 hours per day. Criteria pollutant emissions are calculated by multiplying the flow rate out of the HEPA filter by the controlled exhaust concentration. Toxic pollutant emission factors are based on source test data from the chem treat operation on the existing CGL #2⁶. The chem treat operation is not a source of greenhouse gas emissions. Detailed emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-4.

Ammonia Storage and Handling

A new ammonia storage tank will be installed for the ammonia to be used in the SCR. Ammonia usage is based on the projected demand from the SCR. Emissions from storage tanks are estimated following the fixed roof tank methodology of the June 2020 revision to AP-42 Chapter 7.1⁷. The ammonia storage and handling operation is not a source of greenhouse gas emissions. Detailed emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-7.

Coating Line Thermal Oxidizer

One new coating line that is vented to a thermal oxidizer to control VOC emissions will be installed. The coating line is assumed to operate 24 hours per day. Emissions are calculated by multiplying the maximum fired duty by the appropriate emissions factor⁸. Toxic pollutant emission factors are based on SCAQMD factors for natural gas combustion. Greenhouse gas emission factors are based on 40 CFR Part 89 Tables C-1 and C-2 for natural gas. Detailed emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-4. Toxics emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-8.

Push-Pull Pickle Line

One new PPPL will be installed. Emissions from the PPPL will be controlled by a packed bed scrubber. The PPPL is assumed to operate 24 hours per day. Emissions are calculated by multiplying the flow rate out of the packed bed scrubber by the controlled exhaust concentration. Toxic pollutant emission factors are based on U.S. EPA emission standards for pickle lines. The PPPL is not a source of greenhouse gas emissions. Detailed emission calculations are provided in Attachment A-5.

⁶ AirKinetics Engineering Test Report, California Steel Industries, Strip Dryer Inlet and Outlet

⁷ <https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2020-10/documents/ch07s01.pdf>

⁸ Big River Steel LLC, RBLC ID AR-0173, Push Pull Pickle Line
https://cfpub.epa.gov/rblc/index.cfm?action=PermitDetail.ProcessInfo&facility_id=28975&PROCESS_ID=114353

Electricity Use

Electricity to the Project Site is provided by Southern California Edison. The Project modifications are expected to require 1.12 GWh per month (13.44 GWh per year). Project Greenhouse Gas emissions from electricity use are calculated using the default values for 2025 for Southern California Edison in CalEEMod Appendix G⁹ Table 1.2 *Electrical Utility Emission Factors of Greenhouse Gases*. Unmitigated project emissions are calculated based on electricity intensity from Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Development Review Process, County of San Bernardino, CA, Attachment 3, Table 1

Water Use

Total water use for the project is estimated to be 79,100 gallons per day (approximately 28.5 million gallons per year). Electricity demand from water use is estimated using the default values for South Coast in CalEEMod Appendix G Table G-32 *Water Energy Intensity Factors by Hydrologic Region and Process*. Greenhouse Gas emissions from electricity associated water use are calculated based on the calculated electricity demand multiplied by the electrical utility emission factors of greenhouse gases. Direct greenhouse gas emissions from wastewater treatment are calculated based on the anaerobic treatment factors CalEEMod Appendix G Table G-35 *Annual Wastewater Treatment Direct Emission Factors*. Unmitigated project emissions from electricity demand from water use is calculated based on electricity intensity factors from Table 7 of *Refining Estimates of Water-Related Energy Use in California*¹⁰. Unmitigated biogenic emissions from treatment of waster are not calculated, as they are not part of the calculations specified in *Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Development Review Process, County of San Bernardino, California*.

2.2 Summary of Emission Changes

As described previously, operation of the project will result in emissions from new sources at the facility. Table 1 is used to compare against CEQA daily thresholds. Table 2 is used to compare against CEQA annual thresholds. Detailed emissions calculations are included in Attachment A to this report.

⁹ <https://caleemod.com/user-guide>

¹⁰ Because emission factors for electricity associated with wastewater processing are calculated on a per-resident basis in *Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Development Review Process, County of San Bernardino, California*, unmitigated emissions are calculated based on *Refining Estimates of Water-Related Energy Use in California*. https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/dam/sdc/pds/ceqa/Soitec-Documents/Final-EIR-Files/references/rcref/ch3.1.3/2014-12-19_CEC2006.pdf

Table 1 Summary of Emissions (lbs/day)

| Emission Source | Emissions (lbs/day) | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | ROG | NOx | SOx | CO | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} |
| CGL Heaters | 11.19 | 38.45 | 1.28 | 79.03 | 15.46 | 15.46 |
| Emergency Standby Engine | 0.56 | 1.98 | 0.02 | 10.32 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Cleaning Section | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.94 | 4.94 |
| Chem Treat | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Ammonia Storage and Handling | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Coating Line Thermal Oxidizer | 1.26 | 8.64 | 0.14 | 17.76 | 1.74 | 1.74 |
| Push-Pull Pickle Line | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.91 | 10.91 |
| Total Combined Emissions | 13.00 | 49.07 | 1.45 | 107.11 | 34.05 | 34.05 |
| CEQA Mass Daily Threshold | 55 | 55 | 150 | 550 | 150 | 55 |
| Significant? | No | No | No | No | No | No |

Table 2 Summary of Emissions (tons/year)

| Emission Source | Emissions (tons/year) [CO ₂ e (MT/yr)] | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | ROG | NOx | SOx | CO | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} | CO ₂ e |
| CGL Heaters | 2.04 | 7.02 | 0.23 | 14.42 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 41,398.9 |
| Emergency Standby Engine | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.7 |
| Cleaning Section | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.0 |
| Chem Treat | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.0 |
| Ammonia Storage and Handling | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Coating Line Thermal Oxidizer | 0.14 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.97 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 4,651.6 |
| Push-Pull Pickle Line | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.99 | 1.99 | 0.0 |
| Total Combined Emissions – Onsite Emissions | 2.19 | 7.51 | 0.25 | 15.52 | 6.07 | 6.07 | 46,074.2 |
| Electricity Use | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,138 |
| Water Use | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3,067 |
| Total Project-Related Emissions | 2.19 | 7.51 | 0.25 | 15.52 | 6.07 | 6.07 | 51,279 |

2.2.1 Comparing Project Unmitigated and Mitigated Emissions

The project GHG emissions with and without mitigation are compared consistent with the procedure specified in Attachment 3 of the *Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Development Review Process, County of San Bernardino, California*

GHG emissions from the stationary source combustion equipment associated with the Project are required to comply with CARB’s Mandatory Reporting Rule and the AB 32 Cap-and-Trade

regulations. Since the CSI facility is included in the AB32 Cap-and-Trade Program, an allowance (offset) in an amount equal to the GHG emissions from non-biogenic sources are required to be provided for combustion GHG emissions from the stationary source equipment.

Mitigated GHG emissions from electricity use, water use, and wastewater use are calculated as described in section 2.1.2 above. Note that biogenic emissions from wastewater treatment are excluded from unmitigated and mitigated emissions in Table 3 below for the purpose of determining the reduction in emissions due to mitigation. The percent reduction from unmitigated to mitigated emissions is calculated both for all project emissions and for only water and electricity-related emissions.

Table 3 Summary of GHG Emissions – County of San Bernardino Methodology

| Emission Source | CO2e (MT/Year) |
|--|----------------|
| Unmitigated GHG Emissions | |
| Onsite Combustion Emissions | 46,074.2 |
| Electricity Use | 16,743 |
| Water Use | 4,623 |
| Total Combined Emissions – Unmitigated | 67,440 |
| Mitigated GHG Emissions | |
| Onsite Combustion Emissions following Offset | 0 |
| Electricity Use | 2,138 |
| Water Use* | 309 |
| Total Combined Emissions - Mitigated | 2,447 |
| Percent Reduction – All Project Emissions | 96% |
| Percent Reduction – Electricity and Water Use | 89% |
| Significant? | No |

*Does not include biogenic emissions from wastewater treatment.

In addition, project emissions are compared to SCAQMD significance thresholds. Consistent with SCAQMD, construction emissions and biogenic emissions from wastewater treatment are also included in the analysis. Construction emissions calculations are included in the *Construction Emissions Analysis* for this project.

Table 4 Summary of GHG Emissions – SCAQMD Methodology

| Emission Source | CO2e (MT/Year) |
|---|-----------------------|
| GHG Emissions | |
| Onsite Combustion Emissions | 46,074.2 |
| Electricity Use | 2,138 |
| Water Use | 3,067 |
| Construction Emissions | 52 |
| Total Project-Related Emissions | 51,279 |
| GHG Emission Offsets Required | 46,074 |
| Total Project-Related Emissions following Offset | 5,205 |
| SCAQMD CEQA GHG Threshold | 10,000 |
| Significant? | No |

3.0 CONCLUSION

Emissions calculations indicate that increases in daily emissions of all criteria pollutants are less than the SCAQMD’s respective CEQA mass daily significance thresholds. GHG emissions from the project are more than 31% below unmitigated emissions. Therefore, the impacts of criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas emissions from the project are expected to be less than significant.

ATTACHMENT A. EMISSION SUMMARIES

- A-1 On-Site Emissions Summary
- A-2 Off-Site Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- A-3 Combustion Equipment Emission Calculations
- A-4 Continuous Galvanizing Line Process Emissions Calculations
- A-5 Push Pull Pickle Line Process Emissions Calculations
- A-6 Emergency Standby Engine Emissions Calculations
- A-7 Ammonia Storage Tank Emissions Calculations
- A-8 Combustion Toxics Emissions Calculations

Appendix A
Emissions Calculations

California Steel
Project Emissions
Attachment A-1 On-Site Emissions Summary

| Daily Potential to Emit (lbs/day) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | CGL Heaters | Emergency Standby Engine | Cleaning Section | Chem Treat | Ammonia Storage and Handling | Coating Line Thermal Oxidizer | Push-Pull Pickle Line | Total Emissions |
| NOx | 38.45 | 1.98 | | | | 8.64 | | 49.07 |
| SOx | 1.28 | 0.02 | | | | 0.14 | | 1.45 |
| CO | 79.03 | 10.32 | | | | 17.76 | | 107.11 |
| PM | 15.46 | 0.09 | 4.94 | 0.93 | | 1.74 | 10.91 | 34.05 |
| PM10 | 15.46 | 0.09 | 4.94 | 0.93 | | 1.74 | 10.91 | 34.05 |
| PM2.5 | 15.46 | 0.09 | 4.94 | 0.93 | | 1.74 | 10.91 | 34.05 |
| ROG | 11.19 | 0.56 | | | | 1.26 | | 13.00 |
| NH3 | 0.82 | | | | 2.81 | | | 3.63 |

| Annual Potential to Emit (ton/year) | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | CGL Heaters | Emergency Standby Engine | Cleaning Section | Chem Treat | Ammonia Storage and Handling | Coating Line Thermal Oxidizer | Push-Pull Pickle Line | Total Emissions |
| NOx | 7.02 | 0.02 | | | | 0.47 | | 7.51 |
| SOx | 0.23 | 0.00 | | | | 0.02 | | 0.25 |
| CO | 14.42 | 0.13 | | | | 0.97 | | 15.52 |
| PM | 2.82 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.17 | | 0.19 | 1.99 | 6.07 |
| PM10 | 2.82 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.17 | | 0.19 | 1.99 | 6.07 |
| PM2.5 | 2.82 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.17 | | 0.19 | 1.99 | 6.07 |
| ROG | 2.04 | 0.01 | | | | 0.14 | | 2.19 |
| NH3 | 0.15 | | | | 0.00 | | | 0.15 |
| CO2e | 41,399 | 23.71 | | | | 4,652 | | 46,074.16 |

MT/year

Appendix A
Emissions Calculations

**California Steel
Project Emissions
Attachment A-2 Off-Site Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

Electricity Use - Unmitigated Emissions

| | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Electricity Use | 13,440 | MWh/year |
| CO2 | 290.87 | kg/MWh |
| CH4 | 13.88 | kg/MWh |
| N2O | 2.04 | kg/MWh |
| CH4 | 25 | kg CO2e/kg |
| N2O | 298 | kg CO2e/kg |
| Intensity Emission Factor | 1245.79 | kg CO2e/MWh |
| GHG Emissions | 16,743 | MT CO2e/year |

Emission Factor from Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Development Review Process, County of San Bernardino, CA, Attachment 3, Table 1

Electricity Use - Mitigated Emissions

| | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Electricity Use | 13,440 | MWh/year |
| CO2 | 348.637 | lb/MWh |
| CH4 | 0.033 | lb/MWh |
| N2O | 0.004 | lb/MWh |
| CH4 | 25 | lb CO2e/lb |
| N2O | 298 | lb CO2e/lb |
| Intensity Emission Factor | 350.654 | lb CO2e/MWh |
| GHG Emissions | 2,138 | MT CO2e/year |

Emission Factor from CalEEMod Appendix G, Table G-3

Water Use - Unmitigated Emissions

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | 285 | million gallons per year |
| Electricity Intensity | 13,022 | kWh/million gallons |
| Total Electricity Use | 3,711,270 | kWh/year |
| Intensity Emission Factor | 1245.79 | kg CO2e/MWh |
| GHG Emissions - Electricity Use | 4,623 | MT CO2e/year |

Electricity Intensity from water supply, treatment, distribution, and wastewater treatment are from Refining Estimates of Water-Related Energy Use in California, Table 7. Recommended revised water-energy proxies

Water Use - Mitigated Emissions

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | 285 | million gallons per year |
| Electricity Intensity | 6,807 | kWh/million gallons |
| Total Electricity Use | 1,939,995 | kWh/year |
| Intensity Emission Factor | 350.654 | lb CO2e/MWh |
| GHG Emissions - Electricity Use | 309 | MT CO2e/year |
| CO2 Wastewater Treatment | 3.90E-07 | Ton CO2/gallon |
| CH4 Wastewater Treatment | 4.01E-07 | Ton CH4/gallon |
| N2O Wastewater Treatment | 8.52E-10 | Ton N2O/gallon |
| CH4 | 25 | lb CO2e/lb |
| N2O | 298 | lb CO2e/lb |
| GHG Emissions - Wastewater Treatment | 2,758 | MT CO2e/year |

Electricity Intensity from CalEEMod Appendix G, Table G-32

Wastewater Treatment Direct Emissions from CalEEMod Appendix G, Table G-35

Appendix A
Emissions Calculations

Combustion Equipment

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| CGL Heaters | 41,399 | MT CO2e/year |
| Emergency Standby Engine | 23.71 | MT CO2e/year |
| Coating Line Thermal Oxidizer | 4,652 | MT CO2e/year |
| GHG Emissions from Combustion | 46,074 | MT CO2e/year |

Project-Related GHG Emissions

| | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Electricity Use | 2,138 | MT CO2e/year |
| Water Use | 3,067 | MT CO2e/year |
| Combustion | 46,074 | MT CO2e/year |
| Total | 51,279 | MT CO2e/year |

Appendix A
Emissions Calculations

California Steel
Project Emissions
Attachment A-3 Combustion Equipment Emission Calculations

Heater 1

| | | |
|-----------------|----|----------|
| Furnace Rating: | 57 | MMBtu/hr |
|-----------------|----|----------|

| | Proposed EF | Units | PTE (lbs/hour) | PTE (lbs/day) | PTE (lb/month) | PTE (tpy) | |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| NOx | 0.018 | lb/mmbtu | 1.03 | 24.62 | 738.72 | 4.49 | |
| SOx | 0.0006 | lbs/mmbtu | 0.03 | 0.82 | 24.62 | 0.15 | |
| CO | 0.037 | lb/mmbtu | 2.11 | 50.62 | 1,518.48 | 9.24 | |
| PM | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.41 | 9.90 | 297.05 | 1.81 | |
| PM10 | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.41 | 9.90 | 297.05 | 1.81 | |
| PM2.5 | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.41 | 9.90 | 297.05 | 1.81 | |
| ROG | 0.0052 | lb/mmbtu | 0.30 | 7.17 | 214.97 | 1.31 | |
| GHG | 53.1 | kg/MMBtu | | | | | 26,514 MT/year |

Heater 2

| | | |
|-----------------|----|----------|
| Furnace Rating: | 26 | MMBtu/hr |
|-----------------|----|----------|

| | Proposed EF | Units | PTE (lbs/hour) | PTE (lbs/day) | PTE (lb/month) | PTE (tpy) | |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| NOx | 0.018 | lb/mmbtu | 0.47 | 11.23 | 336.96 | 2.05 | |
| SOx | 0.0006 | lbs/mmbtu | 0.02 | 0.37 | 11.23 | 0.07 | |
| CO | 0.037 | lb/mmbtu | 0.96 | 23.09 | 692.64 | 4.21 | |
| PM | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.19 | 4.52 | 135.50 | 0.82 | |
| PM10 | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.19 | 4.52 | 135.50 | 0.82 | |
| PM2.5 | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.19 | 4.52 | 135.50 | 0.82 | |
| ROG | 0.0052 | lb/mmbtu | 0.14 | 3.27 | 98.06 | 0.60 | |
| GHG | 53.1 | kg/MMBtu | | | | | 12,094 MT/year |

Afterburner

| | | |
|-----------------|---|----------|
| Furnace Rating: | 6 | MMBtu/hr |
|-----------------|---|----------|

| | Proposed EF | Units | PTE (lbs/hour) | PTE (lbs/day) | PTE (lb/month) | PTE (tpy) | |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------|
| NOx | 0.018 | lb/mmbtu | 0.11 | 2.59 | 77.76 | 0.47 | |
| SOx | 0.0006 | lbs/mmbtu | 0.00 | 0.09 | 2.59 | 0.02 | |
| CO | 0.037 | lb/mmbtu | 0.22 | 5.33 | 159.84 | 0.97 | |
| PM | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.04 | 1.04 | 31.27 | 0.19 | |
| PM10 | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.04 | 1.04 | 31.27 | 0.19 | |
| PM2.5 | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.04 | 1.04 | 31.27 | 0.19 | |
| ROG | 0.0052 | lb/mmbtu | 0.03 | 0.75 | 22.63 | 0.14 | |
| GHG | 53.1 | kg/MMBtu | | | | | 2,791 MT/year |

Appendix A
Emissions Calculations

California Steel
Project Emissions
Attachment A-4 Continuous Galvanizing Line Process Emissions Calculations

Cleaning Section Exhaust

Design Flow Rate 8000 CFM

| | Proposed EF | Units | PTE (lbs/hour) | PTE (lbs/day) | PTE (lb/month) | PTE (tpy) |
|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| PM | 0.003 | gr/dscf | 0.21 | 4.94 | 148.11 | 0.90 |
| PM10 | 0.003 | gr/dscf | 0.21 | 4.94 | 148.11 | 0.90 |
| PM2.5 | 0.003 | gr/dscf | 0.21 | 4.94 | 148.11 | 0.90 |
| Arsenic | 0.001 | % weight | 2.06E-06 | 4.94E-05 | 1.48E-03 | 9.01E-06 |
| Beryllium | 0.001 | % weight | 2.06E-06 | 4.94E-05 | 1.48E-03 | 9.01E-06 |
| Cadmium | 0.001 | % weight | 2.06E-06 | 4.94E-05 | 1.48E-03 | 9.01E-06 |
| Chromium | 0.1 | % weight | 2.06E-04 | 4.94E-03 | 1.48E-01 | 9.01E-04 |
| Copper | 0.3 | % weight | 6.17E-04 | 1.48E-02 | 4.44E-01 | 2.70E-03 |
| Lead | 0.001 | % weight | 2.06E-06 | 4.94E-05 | 1.48E-03 | 9.01E-06 |
| Manganese | 1.65 | % weight | 3.39E-03 | 8.15E-02 | 2.44E+00 | 1.49E-02 |
| Mercury | 0.001 | % weight | 2.06E-06 | 4.94E-05 | 1.48E-03 | 9.01E-06 |
| Nickel | 0.1 | % weight | 2.06E-04 | 4.94E-03 | 1.48E-01 | 9.01E-04 |
| Selenium | 0.001 | % weight | 2.06E-06 | 4.94E-05 | 1.48E-03 | 9.01E-06 |

Metals content per condition B27.2

Chem Treat Surface Passivation Exhaust

Design Flow Rate 1500 CFM

| | Proposed EF | Units | PTE (lbs/hour) | PTE (lbs/day) | PTE (lb/month) | PTE (tpy) |
|-------|-------------|---------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| PM | 0.003 | gr/dscf | 0.04 | 0.93 | 27.77 | 0.17 |
| PM10 | 0.003 | gr/dscf | 0.04 | 0.93 | 27.77 | 0.17 |
| PM2.5 | 0.003 | gr/dscf | 0.04 | 0.93 | 27.77 | 0.17 |
| CR6+ | | | 6.13E-07 | 1.47E-05 | 4.41E-04 | 2.68E-06 |

Coating Line Thermal Oxidizer - Controlled Emissions

| | | |
|-----------------|----|----------|
| Furnace Rating: | 10 | MMBtu/hr |
|-----------------|----|----------|

| | Proposed EF | Units | PTE (lbs/hour) | PTE (lbs/day) | PTE (lb/month) | PTE (tpy) |
|-------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| NOx | 0.036 | lb/mmbtu | 0.36 | 8.64 | 259.20 | 1.58 |
| SOx | 0.0006 | lbs/mmbtu | 0.01 | 0.14 | 4.32 | 0.03 |
| CO | 0.074 | lb/mmbtu | 0.74 | 17.76 | 532.80 | 3.24 |
| PM | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.07 | 1.74 | 52.11 | 0.32 |
| PM10 | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.07 | 1.74 | 52.11 | 0.32 |
| PM2.5 | 0.0072 | lb/mmbtu | 0.07 | 1.74 | 52.11 | 0.32 |
| ROG | 0.0052 | lb/mmbtu | 0.05 | 1.26 | 37.71 | 0.23 |
| GHG | 53.1 | kg/MMBtu | | | | 4,652 MT/year |

Appendix A
Emissions Calculations

**California Steel
Project Emissions
Attachment A-5 Push Pull Pickle Line Process Emissions Calculations**

Design Flow Rate 24100 CFM
Conversion 7000 gr/lb

| | Proposed EF | Units | PTE (lbs/hour) | PTE (lbs/day) | PTE (lb/month) | PTE (tpy) |
|--------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| PM | 0.0022 | gr/dscf | 0.45 | 10.91 | 327.21 | 1.99 |
| PM10 | 0.0022 | gr/dscf | 0.45 | 10.91 | 327.21 | 1.99 |
| PM2.5 | 0.0022 | gr/dscf | 0.45 | 10.91 | 327.21 | 1.99 |
| HCl | 6 | ppm | 0.83 | 20.00 | 600.01 | 3.65 |

Proposed EF based on RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse. AR-0173 Big River Steel

HCL rate based on 40 CFR 63 Standard for continuous pickling lines

Appendix A
Emissions Calculations

**California Steel
Project Emissions
Attachment A-6 Emergency Standby Engine Emissions Calculations**

| | | |
|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------|
| Engine Rating | 900 | bhp |
| Daily Operation | 2 | hours |
| Annual Operation | 50 | hours (Maintenance and Testing) |
| Annual Operation | 200 | hours (All Purposes) |
| Fuel consumption | 7100 | Btu/hp-hr* |
| Fuel Density | 7.05 | lb/gal* |
| Fuel Heat content | 19433 | Btu/lb* |
| Sulfur content | 0.0015 | percent by weight |
| Heat Input | 6.390 | MMBtu/hr at peak HP |
| F-Factor | 9,220 | dscf/mmbtu |

*Default assumption

Emission Factors

| | NOx | ROG | CO | PM |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| g/hp-hr | 0.500 | 0.140 | 2.600 | 0.022 |

Tier 4 Factors

Emissions

| | NOx | ROG | CO | PM | PM10 | PM2.5 | SOx | CO2e |
|---------|--------|-------|---------|------|------|-------|-------|------|
| lb/hour | 0.99 | 0.28 | 5.16 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.010 | |
| lb/day | 1.98 | 0.56 | 10.32 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.020 | |
| lb/year | 49.60 | 13.89 | 257.94 | 2.18 | 2.18 | 2.18 | 0.493 | 23.7 |
| lb/year | 198.42 | 55.56 | 1031.76 | 8.73 | 8.73 | 8.73 | 1.973 | 94.8 |

Maintenance and Testing

All Purposes

GHG Emissions are presented in Metric Tons

CO2 Emission Factor Calculation

Emission Factors

| Compound | Factor | Units |
|----------|--------|----------|
| CO2 | 73.96 | kg/MMBtu |
| CH4 | 0.003 | kg/MMBtu |
| N2O | 0.0006 | kg/MMBtu |

Global Warming Potential

| Compound | Factor | Units |
|----------|--------|-----------|
| CO2 | 1 | lbCO2e/lb |
| CH4 | 25 | lbCO2e/lb |
| N2O | 298 | lbCO2e/lb |

CO2e Emission Factor

| | | |
|------|----------|---------------|
| CO2e | 74.2138 | kg CO2e/MMBtu |
| CO2e | 163.6134 | lb CO2e/MMBtu |

California Steel
Project Emissions
Attachment A-7 Ammonia Storage Tank Emissions Calculations

| Parameters | Value | Notes |
|---|------------|---|
| Operating Schedule | | |
| Operating Days per Year | 365 | |
| Operating Hours per Day | 24 | |
| Tank Parameters | | |
| Shell Height, H (ft) | 14.58 | |
| Shell Diameter, D (ft) | 11.87 | |
| Tank Capacity (gal) | 10,500 | |
| Tank Condition/Color | Good/White | |
| Breather Vent Pressure Setting, P _{BP} (psig) | 18 | |
| Breather Vent Vacuum Setting, P _{BV} (psig) | 0.29 | |
| Throughput of Aqueous Ammonia Solution, Q (gal/yr) | 24,000 | |
| Meteorological Data | | |
| Daily Average Liquid Surface Temperature, T _{LA} (F) | 68.08 | Taken from EPA TANKS using Los Angeles C.O., CA |
| Daily Min Liquid Surface Temperature, T _{LN} (F) | 62.92 | meteorological data |
| Daily Max Liquid Surface Temperature, T _{LX} (F) | 73.24 | |
| Daily Vapor Temperature Range, ΔT _V (R) | 20.65 | |
| Ambient Pressure, P _A (psia) | 14.67 | |
| Properties of Ammonia | | |
| Weight Fraction of Ammonia in Aqueous Solution | 19.9% | The maximum concentration from the SDS |
| Molecular Weight of Ammonia | 17.03 | |
| Henry's Constant of Ammonia at 298.15 K, k _H ^o (M/atm) | 61 | Taken from "Compilation of Henry's Law Constants for Inorganic and Organic Species of Potential Importance in Environmental Chemistry", Rolf Sande, http://www.henry-law.org/henry-3.0.pdf |
| -d(ln(k _H))/d(1/T) for Ammonia (K) | 4,200 | |
| Henry's Constant for Ammonia at T _{LA} , k _H (M/atm) | 77.39 | Calculated as k _H = k _H ^o x exp(-d(ln(k _H))/d(1/T))(1/T-1/298.15) |
| Henry's Constant for Ammonia at T _{LN} , k _H (M/atm) | 89.16 | |
| Henry's Constant for Ammonia at T _{LX} , k _H (M/atm) | 67.37 | |
| 20% Aqueous Ammonia Solution Density (g/ml) | 1 | Assumed to have the density of water |
| Partial Pressure of Ammonia at T _{LA} (psia) | 2.22 | Calculated using Henry's Law (p=k _H c) |
| Partial Pressure of Ammonia at T _{LN} (psia) | 1.93 | |
| Partial Pressure of Ammonia at T _{LX} (psia) | 2.55 | |
| AP-42 Section 7.1 Calculations | | |
| Vapor Space Outage, H _{VO} (ft) | 4.66 | (πD ² /4)/2, AP42 7.1 Eq. 1-14 & 1-15 |
| Tank Vapor Space Volume, V _V (ft ³) | 807 | L x D x H _{VO} , AP42 7.1 Eq. 1-3 & 1-13 |
| Ammonia Vapor Density at T _{LA} , W _V (lb/ft ³) | 0.007 | MW x p _{TLA} /R/T _{LA} , AP42 7.1 Eq 1-21 |
| Vapor Space Expansion Factor, K _E | 0.000 | ΔT _V /T _{LA} + (p _{T LX} -p _{T LN} -(P _{BP} -P _{BV}))/(P _A -p _{T LA}), AP42 7.1 Eq 1-7 |
| Vented Vapor Saturation Factor, K _S | 0.65 | 1/(1+0.053 x p _{T LA} x H _{VO}), AP42 7.1 Eq 1-20 |
| Ammonia Standing Loss, L _S (lbs/yr) | 0.00 | Days x V _V x W _V x K _E x K _S , AP42 7.1 Eq 1-2 |
| Pressure 60 deg F (psia) | 3.51 | Perry's Chemical Engineering Handbook, 4th Edition |
| Pressure 70 deg F (psia) | 4.56 | Perry's Chemical Engineering Handbook, 4th Edition |
| Pressure at 68.08 deg F (psia) | 4.36 | interpolated |
| P1 (psig) | 18.0 | conservatively assumed equal to breather vent setting |
| PA (psi) | 14.7 | atmospheric pressure |
| KN | 1 | saturation factor |
| PVA (psia) | 4.4 | vapor pressure at average daily liquid surface temperature |
| PBP (psig) | 18 | breather vent setting |
| KB | 1 | Eq 1-41 |
| Q-Pre (gal/year) | 24,000 | from application |
| VQ-Pre (ft ³ /year) | 3208 | Eq 1-39 |
| KN | 1 | turnovers < 36 |
| WV (lb/ft ³) | 0.006672 | Eq 1-22 |
| KP | 1 | working loss factor |
| LW-Pre | 21.40 | lb/year |
| Vapor Return Line Collection | 70% | percent |
| LW-Pre - Controlled | 6.42 | lb/year |
| Total Ammonia Emissions, L _T (lbs/yr) | 6.42 | |
| Ammonia Emissions and Toxic Screening (CAS No. 7664-41-7) | | |
| Annual Emissions (lbs/yr) | 6.42 | |
| Maximum Hourly Emissions (lbs/hr) | 2.81 | Calculated with standing loss equal to the annual standing loss divided by 8,760 hours per year and working loss with a max hourly throughput of 1 tank turnover |
| Maximum Daily Emissions (lbs/day) | 2.81 | Calculated with standing loss equal to the annual standing loss divided by 365 days per year and working loss with a max daily throughput of 1 tank turnover |

Appendix A
Emissions Calculations

California Steel
Project Emissions
Attachment A-8 Combustion Toxics Emissions Calculations

| CAS No | Toxic Chemical | Heater No 1 | | Heater No 2 | | Afterburner | | N/A | SCR | Total Exhaust | Diesel Engine | Thermal Oxidizer | |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | EF (lb/MMcf) | Emissions (lb/hr) | EF (lb/MMcf) | Emissions (lb/hr) | EF (lb/MMcf) | Emissions (lb/hr) | | Emissions (lb/hr) | Emissions (lb/hr) | EF (lb/MMcf) | Emissions (lb/hr) | |
| 75070 | Acetaldehyde | 0.0031 | 1.683E-04 | 0.0031 | 7.676E-05 | 0.0043 | 2.457E-05 | | | 2.696E-04 | | 0.0043 | 4.095E-05 |
| 107028 | Acrolein | 0.0027 | 1.466E-04 | 0.0027 | 6.686E-05 | 0.0027 | 1.543E-05 | | | 2.289E-04 | | 0.0027 | 2.571E-05 |
| 7664417 | Ammonia | | | | | | | | 0.03 | 3.423E-02 | | | |
| 71432 | Benzene | 0.0058 | 3.149E-04 | 0.0058 | 1.436E-04 | 0.008 | 4.571E-05 | | | 5.042E-04 | | 0.008 | 7.619E-05 |
| 100414 | Ethylbenzene | 0.0069 | 3.746E-04 | 0.0069 | 1.709E-04 | 0.0095 | 5.429E-05 | | | 5.997E-04 | | 0.0095 | 9.048E-05 |
| 50000 | Formaldehyde | 0.0123 | 6.677E-04 | 0.0123 | 3.046E-04 | 0.017 | 9.714E-05 | | | 1.069E-03 | | 0.017 | 0.0001619 |
| 91203 | Naphthalene | 0.0003 | 1.629E-05 | 0.0003 | 7.429E-06 | 0.0003 | 1.714E-06 | | | 2.543E-05 | | 0.0003 | 2.857E-06 |
| 110543 | n-Hexane | 0.0046 | 2.497E-04 | 0.0046 | 1.139E-04 | 0.0063 | 3.600E-05 | | | 3.996E-04 | | 0.0063 | 0.00006 |
| 1151 | PAH's (including naphthalene) | 0.0004 | 2.171E-05 | 0.0004 | 9.905E-06 | 0.0004 | 2.286E-06 | | | 3.390E-05 | | 0.0004 | 3.81E-06 |
| 115071 | Propylene | 0.53 | 2.877E-02 | 0.53 | 1.312E-02 | 0.731 | 4.177E-03 | | | 4.607E-02 | | 0.731 | 0.0069619 |
| 108883 | Toluene | 0.0265 | 1.439E-03 | 0.0265 | 6.562E-04 | 0.0366 | 2.091E-04 | | | 2.304E-03 | | 0.0366 | 0.0003486 |
| 1330207 | Xylenes | 0.0197 | 1.069E-03 | 0.0197 | 4.878E-04 | 0.0272 | 1.554E-04 | | | 1.713E-03 | | 0.0272 | 0.000259 |
| 9901 | Diesel PM | | | | | | | | | | 0.04 | | |

Natural Gas Combustion Emission Factors from VCAPCD AB2588 Combustion Emission