

APPENDIX D

Consultation, Coordination, and Public Involvement

1 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of consultation, coordination, and public involvement is not only to meet regulatory requirements but to encourage interaction between the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the County of San Bernardino (County) with other federal, state, and local agencies; Native American Tribes; and the public. The BLM and the County's roles are to inform the public about the Project and solicit input to assist in analysis and decision-making. The BLM and the County have made formal and informal efforts to involve, consult with, and coordinate with these entities to ensure that the most appropriate data have been gathered and analyzed and that agency policy and public sentiment and values are considered and incorporated. The BLM and the County began conducting consultation, coordination, and public participation in preparation for drafting this environmental impact statement (EIS)/environmental impact report (EIR) prior to the start of the official National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) processes (i.e., publishing of the Notice of Intent [NOI] and Notice of Preparation [NOP]) and will continue throughout the EIR process.

2 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

Agencies and organizations that have jurisdiction and/or special expertise in the Project Area were contacted prior to scoping, during scoping, during resource inventory, and before the publication of the EIS and EIR. This appendix describes the consultation and coordination activities with agencies, Tribes, stakeholders, and the public that occurred throughout the NEPA and CEQA processes, including the scoping process and public review of the EIS/EIR.

2.1 Cooperating Agencies

The BLM—through the California State Office—and the County are the Lead Agencies responsible for preparing this EIS/EIR in accordance with the Department of the Interior (DOI) NEPA Implementing Regulations (43 CFR Part 46), 516 Department Manual (DM) 1 (DOI 2026), and State CEQA Guidelines (14 California Code of Regulations Chapter 3, Section 15121). The BLM has the authority to permit construction on affected BLM-administered land through their decision-making process. Similarly, the County has the authority to permit construction on affected unincorporated County land through their decision-making process. The federal, state, and local Cooperating Agencies and their roles are described in Chapter 1 of the EIS/EIR and are listed in Table D-1.

Pursuant to the DOI's Handbook of National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures (516 DM 1) (DOI 2026), Authorized Officers (AOs) for the lead agencies are expected to make reasonable efforts to identify and invite eligible state, local, and other agencies with jurisdiction by law or special expertise to participate in the environmental review process. The BLM and the County invited 36 federal, state, and county agencies and Native American Tribes to participate in the NEPA and CEQA process and coordination as Cooperating Agencies; 11 entities accepted NEPA cooperating or participating agency status, and five entities accepted FAST-41 cooperating or participating agency status. Table D-1 lists the agencies and Native American Tribes that the BLM invited and the invitation status as of the date of this report.

Table D-1. Agencies and Native American Tribes Invited for NEPA/CEQA Process Participation

Type/Entity	Status
Federal Agencies	
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	FAST-41 participating agency
Bureau of Reclamation	Declined
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	Declined
USACE South Pacific Division (California)	No Response Received
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)	FAST-41 cooperating agency
USEPA (Region 9)	NEPA cooperating agency
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)	NEPA cooperating agency; FAST-41 cooperating agency
USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office (formerly Palm Springs and Southern Nevada Fish and Wildlife)	NEPA cooperating agency
U.S. Forest Service San Bernardino National Forest	No Response Received
U.S. National Park Service	NEPA participating agency
State Agencies	
California Department of Conservation (CDOC)	NEPA participating agency
CDOC Division of Mining Reclamation	NEPA participating agency
California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)	NEPA participating agency
California Department of Parks	No Response Received
California Department of Water Resources	Declined
California Environmental Protection Agency	No Response Received
CDFW (Region 6 Inland Desert)	NEPA participating agency
California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Region 7)	No Response Received
California State Lands Commission	No Response Received
Nevada Department of Transportation	Declined
Nevada Department of Wildlife (NDOW) (Southern Region)	Declined
Nevada Division of Forestry	No Response Received
NDOW (Headquarters)	Declined
Nevada Division of Natural Heritage	No Response Received
Nevada State Historic Preservation Office	Declined
State of Nevada, Commission on Mineral Resources, Division of Minerals	Declined
Regional and Area Agencies	
Clark County Department of Environment and Sustainability	NEPA participating agency
Inland Empire Resources Conservation District	No Response Received
San Bernardino County	FAST-41 participating agency
Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District	No Response Received
Native American Tribes	
Barona Band of Diegueno Mission Indians	No Response Received
Big Pine Paiute Tribe of the Owens Valley	No Response Received
Campo Band of Diegueno Mission Indians	No Response Received

Type/Entity	Status
Chemehuevi Tribe	Accepted
Cocopah Indian Tribe	No Response Received
Colorado River Indian Tribes	No Response Received
Fort Independence Indian Community	No Response Received
Fort Mojave Tribe	No Response Received
Hualapai Tribe	Accepted
Las Vegas Paiute Tribe	No Response Received
Lone Pine Paiute-Shoshone Tribe	No Response Received
Moapa Band of Paiutes	No Response Received
Pahrump Paiute Tribe	No Response Received
Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah	No Response Received
Pueblo of Zuni	No Response Received

Note:
FAST-41 = Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act, Title 41.

2.2 Section 106 Consultation

In accordance with Section 106 (54 United States Code [USC] 306108) of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), federal agencies are required to consider the effects of the agencies' undertakings on historic properties listed in—or eligible for listing in—the National Register of Historic Places, and provide the Advisory Council of Historic Preservation (ACHP) an opportunity to comment. Given this requirement, the BLM and the County prepared this EIS/EIR in coordination with studies and analyses required by the NHPA, as amended (54 USC 300101 et seq.). The regulations also specify that the BLM must conduct consultation with applicable State Historic Preservation Offices (SHPOs) and Tribal Historic Preservation Offices (THPOs), Indian Tribes, the applicant, and other interested parties during all phases of Section 106 compliance. Tribes identified for consultation are listed in Table C-2 below; the chairperson, cultural point of contact, and THPO (when available) from each Tribe were invited to participate in consultation. The BLM initiated Section 106 consultation by sending letters to Indian Tribes on April 18 and May 3, 2025 (see specifics on Tribal outreach in Sections 2.3 and 2.4 below) and to the California and Nevada SHPOs on June 12, 2025 (see Section 3.7, *Cultural Resources* in the EIS/EIR), and providing the ACHP an opportunity to comment on June 10 2025.

BLM received letters from 29 Palms Band of Mission Indians on May 7, 2025; from the Chemehuevi Indian Tribe on May 9, 2025 and a follow up on June 11th, 2025; ; from the Hualapai Tribe on May 12, 2025, from the CA SHPO on July 24, 2025; from the Ft Mojave Tribe on November 20, 2025; and 29 Palms Band of Mission Indians on January 25, 2026.

At the time of public distribution and comment on the draft EIS/EIR, Section 106 consultation is ongoing and will be completed before finalization of the EIS/EIR. Future Section 106 consultation meetings will include consultation on resource eligibility, determination of effects to cultural resources, and mitigation measures for eligible resources, should such properties be determined.

2.3 Government-to-Government Consultation

2.3.1 Section 106 Consultation

As sovereign nations, Native American Tribes have legal rights and benefits with respect to their relationships with the U.S. government. This relationship is founded on the U.S. government’s trust responsibilities to safeguard Tribal sovereignty and self-determination, as well as Tribal lands, assets, and resources reserved by treaty and other federally recognized rights. Pursuant to Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, November 6, 2000), the BLM recognizes Tribal sovereignty, self-determination, and self-government in the development of agency policy, land use decisions, and other actions that may impact Tribal communities. The BLM participates in regular, meaningful consultation and collaboration with Tribal governments following established policy direction in BLM Manual 1780 – *Tribal Relations*, which is implemented through BLM Handbook H-1780-1 – *Improving and Sustaining BLM-Tribal Relations* (BLM 2016a, 2016b). Government-to-government consultation involves seeking, discussing, and considering Tribes’ views on policies, undertakings, and decisions, such as the environmental review of this Project. Government-to-government consultation has generally involved formal letters, submission of material via U.S. Postal Service certified mail and email, with follow-up telephone contact, virtual and in person meetings, and site visits.-

The BLM initiated formal government-to-government consultation with the Native American Tribes listed in Table D-2 through certified letters sent on April 18th and May 3, 2025 along with a digital courtesy copy via email regarding the project to inform them of the Project; to inquire about their interest in continuing government-to-government consultation and participating in Section 106 consultation; and to ask that Tribes share Indigenous knowledge and relevant information about sacred sites, traditional cultural properties (TCPs), cultural landscapes, and/or natural resources that could be affected by the Project.

Table D-2. Native American Tribes Involved in Formal Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation

Tribe/Entity	Involved in Consultation	Tribe/Entity	Involved in Consultation
Barona Band of Diegueno Mission Indians	No	Lone Pine Paiute-Shoshone Tribe	No
Big Pine Paiute Tribe of the Owens Valley	No	Las Vegas Paiute Tribe	Yes
Campo Band of Diegueno Mission Indians	No	Moapa Band of Paiutes	Yes
Chemehuevi Indian Tribe	Yes	Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah	No
Cocopah Indian Tribe	No	Pahrump Paiute Tribe	No
Colorado River Indian Tribes	No	Pueblo of Zuni	No
Fort Independence Indian Community	No	San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe	No
Fort Mojave Indian Tribe	Yes	San Pasqual Band of Diegueno Mission Indians	No
Havasupai Tribe	No	Timbisha Shoshone Tribe	No
Hualapai Tribe	Yes	Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians	Yes
Hopi Tribe	No	Yavapai-Apache Indian Nation	No
Jamul Indian Village	No	Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe	No
Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians	No	Utu Utu Gwaitu Paiute Tribe	No

As of December 8, 2025, the Chemehuevi Indian Tribe and Hualapai Tribe have accepted formal government-to-government consultation with the BLM. BLM invited Tribal Representatives to site visitx

on November 4th, 2025 and January 14, 2026. Representatives from the Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians, Fort Mojave Indian Tribe, and the Las Vegas Paiute Tribe attended the January 14th visit to learn more about the project and discuss potential impacts to resources important to their Tribe in the project area.

At the time of public distribution and comment on the draft EIS, government-to-government consultation is ongoing and will continue through the life of the project. . . The BLM and the County will continue to consult and coordinate with the Tribes listed in Table D-2 and with any additional Native American Tribes who request government-to-government consultation for Project.

2.3.2 Assembly Bill 52

An initial letter requesting consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA and Assembly Bill 52 (AB 52) was sent by the BLM on behalf of the County on April 18, 2025. The letters informed Tribes of the Project; inquired about their interest in engaging in government-to-government consultation with the County under AB 52; and ask if the Tribes would like to share indigenous knowledge and relevant information about sacred sites, TCPs, cultural landscapes, and/or natural resources that could be affected by the Project. The initiation letters were sent via certified U.S. mail to the following 22 Native American Tribes: Big Pine Paiute Tribe of the Owens Valley, Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Cocopah Indian Tribe, Colorado River Indian Tribes, Fort Independence Indian Community, Fort Mojave Indian Tribe, Fort Yuma Quechan Tribe, Havasupai Tribe, Hopi Tribe, Hualapai Indian Tribe, Jamul Indian Village, Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians, Las Vegas Paiute Tribe, Las Vegas Tribe of Paiute Indians, Lone Pine Paiute-Shoshone Tribe, Moapa Band of Paiute Indians, Pahrump Paiute Tribe, Timbi-Sha Shoshone Tribe, Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians, Utu Utu Gwaitu Paiute Tribe, Yavapai-Apache Indian Nation, and Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe.

An additional eight Native American Tribes (Barona Band of Diegueno Mission Indians, Campo Band of Diegueno Mission Indians, Fort Independence Indian Community, Moapa Band of Paiutes, Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah, Pueblo of Zuni, San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe, and San Pasqual Band of Diegueno Mission Indians) were sent letters requesting consultation under Section 106 and AB 52 via certified U.S. mail on May 3, 2025.

The Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Hualapai Indian Tribe, and Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians responded to the letter and requested consultation for the project. Tribal representative conducted a site visit on January 14, 2026. Representatives from the Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians, Fort Mojave Indian Tribe, and the Las Vegas Paiute Tribe attended to learn more about the project and discuss potential impacts to resources important to their Tribe in the project area. Tribal consultation for the project under AB 52 is ongoing and has not yet been completed for the project.

2.4 Other Tribal Coordination

On April 18, 2025, BLM sent an initial letter requesting consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Native American Graves and Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) was sent via certified U.S. mail to the following 22 Native American Tribes on April 18, 2025: Big Pine Paiute Tribe of the Owens Valley, Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Cocopah Indian Tribe, Colorado River Indian Tribes, Fort Independence Indian Community, Fort Mojave Indian Tribe, Fort Yuma Quechan Tribe, Havasupai Tribe, Hopi Tribe, Hualapai Indian Tribe, Jamul Indian Village, Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians, Las Vegas Paiute Tribe, Las Vegas Tribe of Paiute Indians, Lone Pine Paiute-Shoshone Tribe, Moapa Band of Paiute Indians, Pahrump Paiute Tribe, Timbi-sha Shoshone Tribe,

Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians, Utu Utu Gwaitu Paiute Tribe, Yavapai-Apache Indian Nation, and Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe.

An additional eight Native American Tribes (Barona Band of Diegueno Mission Indians, Campo Band of Diegueno Mission Indians, Fort Independence Indian Community, Moapa Band of Paiutes, Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah, Pueblo of Zuni, San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe, and San Pasqual Band of Diegueno Mission Indians) were sent letters requesting consultation under Section 106 and NAGPRA via certified U.S. mail on May 3, 2025.

The Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Hualapai Indian Tribe, and Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians responded to the initial letter and requested consultation for the project. BLM invited Tribal Representatives to a site visit on January 14, 2026. Representatives from the Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians, Fort Mojave Indian Tribe, and the Las Vegas Paiute Tribe attended to learn more about the project and discuss potential impacts to resources important to their Tribe in the project area.

At the time of public distribution and comment on the draft EIS, additional Tribal coordination is ongoing and will be completed before finalization of the EIS. Future coordination efforts will include additional site visits to the Project Area or cultural resources documented within. The BLM will also conduct additional meetings with individual Tribal representatives, or councils, if and when requested.

2.5 Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation

The BLM's obligations under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) include using agency authorities in furtherance of the purposes of the act by carrying out programs for the conservation of endangered species and threatened species. Relevant actions may include providing up-front exclusion areas and design features to protect and assist in recovery of threatened and endangered species and early coordination with the USFWS to allow development of appropriate conservation efforts. BLM has coordinated and communicated with the USFWS throughout the NEPA process for the Project, including Cooperating Agency meetings and document reviews, working group meetings, and other coordination meetings prior to formally initiating consultation. As part of ongoing communication between federal agencies, the USFWS was invited to review internal documents that preceded publication of the draft EIS/EIR. Information received from the USFWS has been incorporated into the draft EIS/EIR. Additionally, SWCA—on behalf of the BLM—is preparing a Biological Assessment to evaluate the potential impacts of the Project on species listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA and on designated critical habitats within the Project Area. The BLM will submit the Biological Assessment to the USFWS to initiate formal Section 7 consultation. The BLM would not sign the ROD until the USFWS issues a Biological Opinion and the formal Section 7 consultation is complete.

2.6 USC 139 Coordination Plan

Title 23 USC Section 139 requires the BLM to develop a Coordination Plan for all projects for which an EIS is prepared under NEPA. The Coordination Plan outlines how the lead agencies allocate the responsibilities for compliance with the various components of Section 139 environmental review process. Additionally, the plan details the mechanisms by which lead agencies will facilitate opportunities for input from the public and other agencies, in accordance with all relevant laws and regulations. Consistent with 23 USC 139(g)(1)(B), the plan is required to include a project schedule for completing the Section 139 environmental review process and identify key coordination points.

3 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Mandatory and discretionary scoping and public involvement for the BLM are being conducted in accordance with the Department of the Interior’s Handbook of National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures (516 DM 1) (DOI 2026), while the County is following the public involvement requirements set forth in Section 21083.9 of the California Public Resources Code and Section 15089 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

The County published an NOP for the joint EIS/EIR for the Project on October 17, 2025 [*Castle Mountain Mine Phase II Expansion Project Environmental Impact Statement and Environmental Impact Report – Final Scoping Report*] [Scoping Report] [BLM 2025:Appendix A]). Pursuant to NEPA, the BLM separately published a NOI to prepare an EIS/EIR in the *Federal Register* on October 20, 2025 (BLM 2025:Appendix B). Publication of the NOP and NOI initiated a 30-day public scoping comment period to solicit public scoping comments on the scope of the analysis, including issues and alternatives. The entities listed in Table D-3 received printed or electronic copies of the NOI and NOP prepared for this EIS/EIR. Additional details of the scoping process, scoping objectives, scoping comment analysis, and scoping comment summaries are further outlined in the Scoping Report (BLM 2025).

The BLM and the County will provide the Draft EIS/EIR for a 30-day public comment period from April 17 to May 16, 2026, and will respond to all substantive comments. Following commencement of the public comment period, the BLM and the County will publish the Final EIS/EIR and issue the final ROD (NEPA) and Notice of Determination (CEQA).

Table D-3. Notice of Intent and Notice of Preparation Distribution List

Agency/Entity	Address
Federal Agencies	
Mike Gauthier, National Park Service (NPS) Lake Mead National Recreation Area (NRA), Interior Region 8	601 Nevada Highway Boulder City, Nevada 89005
Wyatt Medley, NPS Lake Mead NRA, Interior Region 8	601 Nevada Highway Boulder City, Nevada 89005
Lena Lee, NPS	333 Bush Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94104
Lara Rozzell, NPS	333 Bush Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94104
Brian Croft, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Palm Springs and Southern Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office	777 East Tahquitz Canyon Way, Suite 208 Palm Springs, California 92262
Peter Sanzenbacher, USFWS, Palm Springs and Southern Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office	777 East Tahquitz Canyon Way, Suite 208 Palm Springs, California 92262
Richard Tung, USFWS, Palm Springs and Southern Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office	602 South Tippecanoe Avenue San Bernardino, California 92408
Ray McPadden, NPS, Mojave National Park	90942 Kelso Cima Road Kelso, California 92309
Peter Sanzenbacher, USFWS	777 East Tahquitz Canyon Way, Suite 208 Palm Springs, California 92262
Frankie Green, USFWS	777 East Tahquitz Canyon Way, Suite 208 Palm Springs, California 92262
Juita Martinez, USFWS	777 East Tahquitz Canyon Way, Suite 208 Palm Springs, California 92262

Castle Mountain Mine Project Phase II Expansion Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Appendix D

Agency/Entity	Address
Megan Borthwick, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	401 F Street NW, Suite 308 Washington, D.C. 20001
Rose Pettiette, BLM Needles Field Office (NFO)	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Carrie Woods, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Ron Nuckles, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Arleen Garcia-Herbst, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Sabrina Bice, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Matthew McDonald, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Justin Saiz, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Ramona Daniels, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
John Russell Hansen, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Mark Masser, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Brandon Anderson, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Michelle Van Der Linden, BLM NFO	1303 South Highway 95 Needles, California 92363
Collen Cepero Rios, BLM NFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Elizabeth Moody, BLM Las Vegas Field Office (LVFO)	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Eamon Brennan, BLM LVFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Joe Varner, BLM LVFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Bruce Sillitoe, BLM LVFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Jimmy Linares, BLM LVFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Peter (Evan) Meyers, BLM LVFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Lillian Setters, BLM LVFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Tiffany Arend, BLM LVFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Steve Leslie, BLM LVFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Meredith Shankwitz, BLM LVFO	4701 North Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Jean Prijatel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 9	75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

Castle Mountain Mine Project Phase II Expansion Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Appendix D

Agency/Entity	Address
Sarah Samples, USEPA Region 9	75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105
Robin Truitt, USEPA Region 9	75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105
Ann Magliaro, USEPA Region 9	75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105
Deanna Cummings, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 4th Floor San Francisco, California 94102
Aaron Allen, USACE	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 4th Floor San Francisco, California 94102
Shonna Dooman, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation)	P.O. Box 61470 Boulder City, Nevada 89006
Mike Boyles, Reclamation	P.O. Box 61470 Boulder City, Nevada 89006
Shane Hunt, Reclamation	P.O. Box 61470 Boulder City, Nevada 89006
Lindsay Gilham, National Park Service (NPS) Pacific West Region	333 Bush Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94104
Matthew Caire, NPS Pacific West Region	333 Bush Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94104
Neal Darby, NPS Pacific West Region	333 Bush Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94104
Julia Brunner, NPS Pacific West Region	333 Bush Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94104
Richard Thornburgh, NPS Pacific West Region	333 Bush Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94104
State Agencies	
Paul Fry, Division of Mining Reclamation, California Department of Conservation (CDC)	430 G Street, #4164 Davis, California 95616
Gezahegn Hora, Division of Mining Reclamation, CDC	715 P Street, MS 1805 Sacramento, California 95814
Heidi Calvert, California Department of Fish and Wildlife	3602 Inland Empire Boulevard, Suite C-220 Ontario, California 91764
California State Lands Commission	100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100 South Sacramento, California 95825
California Department of Parks	1925 Las Virgenes Road Calabasas, California 91302
California Department of Food and Agriculture	1220 N Street Sacramento, California 95814
Art Krupicz, Southern Resource Management Officer, Nevada State Historic Preservation Office	901 South Stewart Street, Suite 3002 Carson City, Nevada 89701
Chandler Parker, Nevada Division of Forestry	4747 Vegas Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89108
Shane Littlefield, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection	3800 Sierra Way San Bernardino, California 92405
Jamey McClinton, Nevada Division of Natural Heritage	901 South Stewart Street, Suite 5002 Carson City, Nevada 89701
Chris Young, Nevada Department of Transportation	1263 South Stewart Street Carson City, Nevada 89712

Agency/Entity	Address
California Department of Water Resources	715 P Street Sacramento, California 95814
California Air Resources Board	P.O. Box 2815 Sacramento, California 95812
Colorado River Basin Regional Water Quality Control Board	73-720 Fred Waring Drive, Suite 100 Palm Desert, California 92260
Nevada Division of Minerals	375 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 205 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Nevada Department of Wildlife (NDOW) Headquarters	6980 Sierra Center Parkway, #190 Reno, Nevada 89511
Tracy Kipke, NDOW, Southern Region	3373 Pepper Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
Regional/County/Local Agencies	
Marci Henson, Clark County Department of Environment and Sustainability	4701 West Russell Road, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
Claire Cozad, Chief of Staff, San Bernardino County Third District Supervisor	63665 Twentynine Palms Highway Joshua Tree, California 92252
Dakota Higgins, Chief of Staff, San Bernardino County First District Supervisor	14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, California 92307
Dan Walsh, Mining Program Manager, San Bernardino County	385 North Arrowhead Avenue, First Floor San Bernardino, California 92415-0187
Aron Liang, Planning Manager, San Bernardino County	385 North Arrowhead Avenue, First Floor San Bernardino, California 92415-0187
Reuben Arceo, Planner, San Bernardino County	385 North Arrowhead Avenue, First Floor San Bernardino, California 92415-0187
Jason Searles, Supervision Deputy County Counsel, San Bernardino County	385 North Arrowhead Avenue, First Floor San Bernardino, California 92415-0187
Alan De Salvio, Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD)	14306 Park Avenue Victorville, California 92392
Sheri Haggard, Permitting Supervisor, MDAQMD	14306 Park Avenue Victorville, California 92392
Daniel Concho, Air Quality Engineer, MDAQMD	14306 Park Avenue Victorville, California 92392
Jose Cortez, Land Disposal Program Manager, Colorado River Basin Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)	73-720 Fred Waring Drive, Suite 100 Palm Desert, California 92260
Bryanna Allen, Engineering Geologist, Colorado River Basin RWQCB	73-720 Fred Waring Drive, Suite 100 Palm Desert, California 92260
Mandy Parkes, Inland Empire Resources Conservation District	P.O. Box 232586 Redlands, California 92374-4515
Elected Officials	
U.S. Senator, Alex Padilla	255 East Temple Street, Suite 1860 Los Angeles, California 90012
U.S. Representative, Raul Ruiz (CA-R-25)	77933 Las Montanas Road, Suite 100 Palm Desert, California 92211
U.S. Representative, Jay Obernolte (CA-R-23)	9700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 201 Hesperia, California 92345

Agency/Entity	Address
Assembly Member, Jeff Gonzalez	P.O. Box 942849 1021 O Street, Suite 4230 Sacramento, California 94249
California Senator, Steve C. Padilla	1021 O Street, Suite 7630 Sacramento, California 95814
U.S. Senator, Adam Schiff	112 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510
Tribal Governments	
Barona Band of Diegueno Mission Indians	1095 Barona Road Lakeside, California 92040
Big Pine Paiute Tribe of the Owens Valley	P.O. Box 700 825 South Main Street Big Pine, California 93513
Campo Band of Diegueno Mission Indians	36190 Church Road, Suite 1 Campo, California 91906
Chemehuevi Indian Tribe	P.O. Box 1976 1991 Palo Verde Drive Havasupai Lake, California 92363
Cocopah Indian Tribe	14515 South Veterans Drive Somerton, Arizona 85350
Colorado River Indian Tribes	26600 Mohave Road Parker, Arizona 85344
Fort Independence Indian Community	P.O. Box 67 131 North Highway 395 Independence, California 93526
Fort Mojave Indian Tribe	500 Merriman Avenue Needles, California 92363
Fort Yuma Quechan Tribe	350 Picacho Road Winterhaven, California 92283
Havasupai Tribe	P.O. Box 10 Supai, Arizona 86435
Hopi Tribe	P.O. Box 123 Kykotsmovi, Arizona 86039
Hualapai Indian Tribe	P.O. Box 179 Peach Springs, Arizona 86434
Jamul Indian Village	P.O. Box 612 Jamul, California 91935
Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians	HC 65, Box 2 Fredonia, Arizona 86022
Las Vegas Paiute Tribe	1 Paiute Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
Lone Pine Paiute-Shoshone Tribe	P.O. Box 747 1103 South Main Street Lone Pine, California 93545
Moapa Band of Paiutes	P.O. Box 340 1 Lincoln Street Moapa, Nevada 89025
Pahrump Paiute Tribe	P.O. Box 3411 Pahrump, Nevada 89041

Agency/Entity	Address
Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah	440 North Paiute Drive Cedar City, Utah 84721
Pueblo of Zuni	P.O. Box 339 1203-B State Highway 53 Zuni, New Mexico 87327
San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe	P.O. Box 2950 Tuba City, Arizona 86045
San Pasqual Band of Diegueno Mission Indians	P.O. Box 365 Valley Center, California 92082
Timbisha Shoshone Tribe	P.O. Box 1779 Bishop, California 93514
Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians	46-200 Harrison Place Coachella, California 92236
Utu Utu Gwaitu Paiute Tribe	25669 Highway 6 PMBI Benton, California 93512
Yavapai-Apache Indian Nation	2400 West Datsi Street Camp Verde, Arizona 86322
Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe	530 East Merritt Street Prescott, Arizona 86301
Big Pine Paiute Tribe of the Owens Valley	P.O. Box 700 Big Pine, California 93513
Other Groups, Businesses, and Entities	
California Native Plant Society (CNPS), Senior Director, Public Affairs, Liv O'Keefe Nick Jensen, Conservation Program Director	707 K Street, Suite 1 Sacramento, California 95816-5130
CNPS, Conservation Program Director, Nick Jensen	707 K Street, Suite 1 Sacramento, California 95816-5130
Center for Biological Diversity	2100 Franklin Street, Suite 375 Oakland, California 94612
Defenders of Wildlife – California, Senior California Representative, Jeff Aardahl	P.O. Box 401 Folsom, California 95763
Friends of Desert Mountains	P.O. Box 1281 Palm Desert, California 92261
Mojave Desert Land Trust	P.O. Box 1544 60124 Twentynine Palms Highway Joshua Tree, California 92252
Sierra Club, National Headquarters	2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300 Oakland, California 94612
The Wilderness Society	1801 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20006
The Nature Conservancy, California State Program	830 South Street Sacramento, California 95811
Red Rock Audubon Society	P.O. Box 96691 Las Vegas, Nevada 89193
Conservation Lands Foundation, California Field Office Program Director, Elyane Stefanick	835 East Second Avenue, #305 Durango, Colorado 81301
Great Basin Bird Observatory	1755 East Plumb Lane, Suite 256A Reno, Nevada 89502
Get Outdoors Nevada	21 North Pecos Road, Suite 106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Agency/Entity	Address
Friends of Avi Kwa Ame	P.O. Box 1324 Searchlight, Nevada 89046
Nevada Conservation League	P.O. Box 61378 Las Vegas, Nevada 89160
Battle Born Progress	675 South Tenaya Way, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

4 LITERATURE CITED

- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2008. *National Environmental Policy Act*. BLM Handbook H-1790-1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management. Available at: <https://www.blm.gov/sites/blm.gov/files/BLM%20NEPA%20Handbook%20h-1790-1.pdf>. Accessed January 2026.
- _____. 2016a. *Tribal Relations*. BLM Manual 1780. Release 8-74. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management. Available at: <https://www.blm.gov/sites/blm.gov/files/uploads/MS%201780.pdf>. Accessed January 2026.
- _____. 2016b. *Improving and Sustaining BLM-Tribal Relations*. BLM Handbook H-1780-1. Release 8-75. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management. Available at: https://www.blm.gov/sites/blm.gov/files/uploads/H-1780-1__0.pdf. Accessed January 2026.
- _____. 2025. *Castle Mountain Mine Phase II Expansion Project Environmental Impact Statement and Environmental Impact Report – Final Scoping Report*. Available at: https://eplanning.blm.gov/public_projects/?doc=2039209%2F200667792%2F20149401%2F251049381%2F01%20CMM_Final%20Scoping%20Report_12222025_508.pdf. Accessed January 2026.